

# Lógica en la Informática / Logic in Computer Science

Monday Nov 5th, 2018

**Time: 1h30min. No books, lecture notes or formula sheets allowed.**

1) (2 points)

1a) Is it true that for any two propositional formulas  $F$  and  $G$ , we have that  $\neg F \vee G$  is a tautology if and only if  $F \models G$ ? Prove it using only the definition of propositional logic.

1b) Is it true that for any three propositional formulas  $F, G, H$ , we have that  $F \wedge (G \vee H)$  is a tautology iff  $(\neg G \wedge H) \vee \neg F$  is unsatisfiable? Prove it using only the definition of propositional logic.

2) (2 points)

Our friend John has invented something he calls a “propositional database”, which consists of a set of positive unit clauses (propositional symbols) and of a set of “rules” of the form:

*Condition*  $\rightarrow$  *Consequence*, where *Condition* and *Consequence* are sets of propositional symbols.

I asked John what he considers to be true facts, or simply “facts”, in his database, and he said: “the minimal set of facts such that all positive unit clauses are facts, and, for every rule

*Condition*  $\rightarrow$  *Consequence*, if all elements of *Condition* are facts, then also all elements of *Consequence* are facts”.

2a) Given such a database  $D$ , we want to know if a certain symbol  $p$  is a fact in  $D$ . Explain very briefly. What is the cost of deciding this? How?

2b) I want to know how many facts are true in  $D$ . Explain very briefly. What is the cost of counting this? How?

3) (4 points) MaxSAT is a problem related to SAT. It takes as input a natural number  $k$  and a set  $S$  of  $n$  propositional clauses over propositional symbols  $\mathcal{P}$ , and it asks whether there is any interpretation  $I : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  that satisfies at least  $k$  clauses of  $S$ .

3a) Do you think that MaxSAT is polynomial? NP-complete? Exponential? Why?

3b) Is it true that, using auxiliary variables, one can decide MaxSAT in a single call to a SAT solver? Explain why.

3c) How would you use a SAT solver to solve the optimization version of MaxSAT, that is, how to find the  $I$  that satisfies as many of the clauses of  $S$  as possible? Give one single (and short) explanation.

3d) We want to 3-color a given graph with  $n$  nodes and  $m$  edges: assign one of the 3 colors to each node such that for no edge  $(u, v)$  nodes  $u$  and  $v$  get the same color. Of course this may be impossible, so we will allow some nodes to remain uncolored: they get *no* color. How can we use the ideas of 3b,c) to compute the 3-coloring with the *minimal* number of such uncolored nodes?

4) (2 points) Is 3-SAT NP-complete? Explain your answer very briefly, using the fact that SAT (deciding the satisfiability of an arbitrary propositional formula  $F$ ) is NP-complete.