

Lógica en la Informática / Logic in Computer Science
January 16th, 2019. Time: 2h30min. No books or lecture notes.

Note on evaluation: $\text{eval}(\text{propositional logic}) = \max\{ \text{eval}(\text{Problems 1,2,3,4}), \text{eval}(\text{partial exam}) \}$.
 $\text{eval}(\text{first-order logic}) = \text{eval}(\text{Problems 5,6,7})$.

1a) Let F and G be propositional tautologies. Is it true that, for every propositional formula H , we have $H \models F \wedge G$? Prove it using only the definitions of propositional logic.

1b) Is it true that the formula p is a logical consequence of the set S of three clauses $\{ p \vee q \vee r, \neg q \vee r, \neg r \}$? Prove it in the simplest and shortest way you know. You may use any well-known property of propositional logic, even without proving that property.

2) Let $\text{Res}(S)$ denote the closure under resolution of a set S of propositional two-literal clauses. Which three properties of $\text{Res}(S)$ do you find essential to prove that 2-SAT is polynomial? Answer in three lines like this:

1. ...
2. ...
3. ...

3) Given a propositional CNF, that is, a set of propositional clauses S , explain in two lines your best method to decide whether S is a tautology.

4) Write the clauses needed for expressing $x_1 + \dots + x_4 \leq 1$ using the ladder encoding. (Please write them in a clean and ordered way; give no explanations.)

5) Let F be the following formula of first-order logic with equality:

$\forall x \forall y \forall z f(x, f(y, z)) = f(f(x, y), z) \wedge \forall x f(e, x) = x \wedge \forall x f(i(x), x) = e \wedge \forall x \forall y f(x, y) = f(y, x)$.
Any model of F is called a *commutative group* (where e is the *neutral element* for f and i its *inverse*).

5a) Give a well-known example of a commutative group with *infinite* domain. Please write it as clean and simple as possible; give no explanations.

5b) Give an *as simple as possible* example of a commutative group with a *finite* domain. Please write it as clean and simple as possible; give no explanations.

6) Formalize and prove by resolution that sentence D is a logical consequence of the other three:

A : Everybody loves his father and his mother.

B : John is stupid.

C : When someone is stupid, at least one of his parents is stupid too.

D : There are stupid people that are loved by someone.

Mandatory: use function symbols $f(x)$ and $m(x)$ meaning “father of x ” and “mother of x ”.

7) Consider a 1-ary function symbol f and a 3-ary predicate symbol P and a first-order interpretation I with a finite domain $D_I = \{a, b\}$ and the (finite) definition of the functions f_I and P_I . Answer in a few words: Is it decidable whether I satisfies a given formula F (over f and P)? If so, what do you think is the complexity of this? (hint: any relationship with 3-SAT?).