# The Hiring Problem

Conrado Martínez U. Politècnica Catalunya Joint work with M. Archibald

July 2010 Univ. Cape Town, South Africa



- The hiring problem is a simple model of decision-making under uncertainty
- It is closely related to the well-known Secretary Problem:

"A sequence of n candidates is to be interviewed to fill a post. For each interviewed candidate we only learn about his/her relative rank among the candidates we've seen so far. After each interview, hire and finish, or discard and interview a new candidate. The nth candidate must be hired if we have reached that far.

The goal: devise an strategy that maximizes the probability of hiring the best of the n candidates."

- Originally introduced by Broder *et al.* (SODA 2008)
- The candidates are modellized by a (potentially infinite) sequence of i.i.d. random variables  $Q_i$  uniformly distributed in [0, 1]
- At step i you either hire or discard candidate i with score  $Q_i$
- Decisions are irrevocable
- Goals: hire candidates at some reasonable rate, improve the "mean" quality of the company's staff

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

$$\sigma = 62817435$$
  
 $\sigma' =$   
 $S =$ 

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

$$\sigma = 62817435$$
  
 $\sigma' = 1$   
 $S = 1$ 

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

$$\sigma = 62817435$$
  
 $\sigma' = 21$   
 $S = 11$ 

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

$$\sigma = 62817435$$
  
 $\sigma' = 213$   
 $S = 113$ 

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

$$\sigma = 62817435$$
  
 $\sigma' = 3241$   
 $S = 1131$ 

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

#### Example

 $\sigma = 62817435$  $\sigma' = 32514$ S = 11314

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

#### Example

 $\sigma = 62817435$  $\sigma' = 426153$ S = 113143

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

#### Example

 $\sigma = 62817435$  $\sigma' = 5271643$ S = 1131433

• Our model: a permutation  $\sigma$  of length n, candidate i has score  $\sigma(i)$ ; the permutation is actually presented as a sequence of unknown length  $S = s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  with  $1 \le s_i \le i + 1$ ,  $s_i$  is the rank of the *i*th candidate relative to the candidates seen so far (*i* included)

# Example $\sigma = 62817435$ $\sigma' = 62817435$ S = 11314335

A hiring strategy is rank-based if and only if it only depends on the relative rank of the current candidate compared to the candidates seen so far.

- Rank-based strategies modelize actual restrictions to measure qualities
- Many natural strategies are rank-based, e.g.,
  - above the best
  - above the *m*th best
  - above the median
  - above the P% best
- Assume only relative ranks of candidates are known, like the standard secretary problem
- Some hiring strategies are not rank-based, e.g., above the average, above a threshold.

# Intermezzo: A crash course on generating functions and the symbolic method

- Excerpts from my short course "Analytic Combinatorics: A Primer"

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two finite sets.

The Addition Principle

If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are disjoint then

$$|\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{B}|=|\mathcal{A}|+|\mathcal{B}|$$

The Multiplication Principle

 $|\mathcal{A} imes \mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}| imes |\mathcal{B}|$ 

## Definition

A combinatorial class is a pair  $(\mathcal{A}, |\cdot|)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite or denumerable set of values (combinatorial objects, combinatorial structures),  $|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{N}$  is the size function and for all  $n \ge 0$ 

$${\mathcal A}_n=\{x\in {\mathcal A}\,|\,|x|=n\}$$
 is finite

## Example

- $\mathcal{A} = \mathsf{all}$  finite strings from a binary alphabet;
  - |s| = the length of string s
- $\mathcal{B} =$  the set of all permutations;

 $|\sigma|=$  the order of the permutation  $\sigma$ 

ullet  $\mathcal{C}_n$  = the partitions of the integer  $n; \; |p| = n$  if  $p \in \mathcal{C}_n$ 

- In unlabelled classes, objects are made up of indistinguisable atoms; an atom is an object of size 1
- In labelled classes, objects are made up of distinguishable atoms; in an object of size n, each of its n atoms bears a distinct label from {1,..., n}

## Definition

Let  $a_n = \#A_n$  = the number of objects of size n in A. Then the formal power series

$$A(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{A}} z^{|lpha|}$$

is the (ordinary) generating function of the class A. The coefficient of  $z^n$  in A(z) is denoted  $[z^n]A(z)$ :

$$[z^n]A(z)=[z^n]\sum_{n\geq 0}a_nz^n=a_n$$

Ordinary generating functions (OGFs) are mostly used to enumerate unlabelled classes.

## Example

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \{ w \in (0+1)^* \, | \, w \text{ does not contain two consecutive 0's} \} \\ &= \{ \epsilon, 0, 1, 01, 10, 11, 010, 011, 101, 110, 111, \ldots \} \\ L(z) &= z^{|\epsilon|} + z^{|0|} + z^{|1|} + z^{|01|} + z^{|10|} + z^{|11|} + \cdots \\ &= 1 + 2z + 3z^2 + 5z^3 + 8z^4 + \cdots \end{split}$$

Exercise: Can you guess the value of  $L_n = [z^n]L(z)$ ?

## Definition

Let  $a_n = \# \mathcal{A}_n =$  the number of objects of size n in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the formal power series

$$\hat{A}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n rac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{A}} rac{z^{|lpha|}}{|lpha|!}$$

is the exponential generating function of the class  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Exponential generating functions (EGFs) are used to enumerate labelled classes.



Let C = A + B, the disjoint union of the unlabelled classes A and B  $(A \cap B = \emptyset)$ . Then

$$C(z) = A(z) + B(z)$$

And

$$c_n=[z^n]C(z)=[z^n]A(z)+[z^n]B(z)=a_n+b_n$$

## Cartesian product

Let  $C = A \times B$ , the Cartesian product of the unlabelled classes Aand B. The size of  $(\alpha, \beta) \in C$ , where  $a \in A$  and  $\beta \in B$ , is the sum of sizes:  $|(\alpha, \beta)| = |\alpha| + |\beta|$ . Then

$$C(z) = A(z) \cdot B(z)$$

## Proof.

$$egin{aligned} C(z) &= \sum_{egin{aligned} \gamma \in \mathcal{C}} z^{|eta|} = \sum_{(lpha,eta)\in\mathcal{A} imes\mathcal{B}} z^{|lpha|+|eta|} = \sum_{lpha\in\mathcal{A}} \sum_{eta\in\mathcal{B}} z^{|lpha|} \cdot z^{|eta|} \ &= \left(\sum_{lpha\in\mathcal{A}} z^{|lpha|}
ight) \cdot \left(\sum_{eta\in\mathcal{B}} z^{|eta|}
ight) = A(z)\cdot B(z) \end{aligned}$$

The *n*th coefficient of the OGF for a Cartesian product is the *convolution* of the coefficients  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$ :

$$egin{aligned} &c_n = [z^n]C(z) = [z^n]A(z) \cdot B(z) \ &= \sum\limits_{k=0}^n a_k \, b_{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

## Sequences

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a class without any empty object  $(\mathcal{A}_0 = \emptyset)$ . The class  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Seq}(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the class of sequences of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's.

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \{ (lpha_1, \dots, lpha_k) \, | \, k \geq 0, lpha_i \in \mathcal{A} \} \ &= \{ \epsilon \} + \mathcal{A} + (\mathcal{A} imes \mathcal{A}) + (\mathcal{A} imes \mathcal{A} imes \mathcal{A}) + \dots = \{ \epsilon \} + \mathcal{A} imes \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$C(z)=rac{1}{1-A(z)}$$

## Proof.

$$C(z) = 1 + A(z) + A^2(z) + A^3(z) + \dots = 1 + A(z) \cdot C(z)$$

## Labelled objects

Disjoint unions of labelled classes are defined as for unlabelled classes and  $\hat{C}(z) = \hat{A}(z) + \hat{B}(z)$ , for  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ . Also,  $c_n = a_n + b_n$ .

To define labelled products, we must take into account that for each pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $|\alpha| = k$  and  $|\alpha| + |\beta| = n$ , we construct  $\binom{n}{k}$  distinct pairs by consistently relabelling the atoms of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$egin{aligned} &lpha = (2,1,4,3), \quad eta = (1,3,2) \ &lpha imes eta = \{(2,1,4,3,5,7,6),(2,1,5,3,4,7,6),\dots, \ &(5,4,7,6,1,3,2)\} \ &\#(lpha imes eta) = egin{aligned} & (7) \ & 4 \end{pmatrix} = 35 \end{aligned}$$

The size of an element in  $\alpha \times \beta$  is  $|\alpha| + |\beta|$ .

For a class  ${\cal C}$  that is labelled product of two labelled classes  ${\cal A}$  and  ${\cal B}$ 

$$\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A} imes\mathcal{B}=igcup_{egin{smallmatrix}lpha\in\mathcal{A}\eta\in\mathcal{B}\end{smallmatrix}}lpha imeseta$$

the following relation holds for the corresponding EGFs

$$egin{aligned} \hat{C}(z) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} rac{z^{|\gamma|!}}{|\gamma|!} = \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{eta \in \mathcal{B}} igg( rac{|lpha| + |eta|}{|lpha|} igg) rac{z^{|lpha| + |eta|}}{(|lpha| + |eta|)!} \ &= \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{eta \in \mathcal{B}} rac{1}{|lpha|! |eta|!} z^{|lpha| + |eta|} = igg( \sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{A}} rac{z^{|lpha|}}{|lpha|!} igg) \cdot igg( \sum_{eta \in \mathcal{B}} rac{z^{|eta|}}{|eta|!} igg) \ &= \hat{A}(z) \cdot \hat{B}(z) \end{aligned}$$

The nth coefficient of  $\hat{C}(z) = \hat{A}(z) \cdot \hat{B}(z)$  is also a convolution

$$c_n = [z^n] \hat{C}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n inom{n}{k} a_k \, b_{n-k}$$

Sequences of labelled object are defined as in the case of unlabelled objects. The construction C = Seq(A) is well defined if  $A_0 = \emptyset$ . If  $C = \text{Seq}(A) = \{\epsilon\} + A \times C$  then

$$\hat{C}(z)=rac{1}{1-\hat{A}(z)}$$

## Example

Permutations are labelled sequences of atoms,  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Seq}(Z)$ . Hence,

$$\hat{P}(z)=rac{1}{1-z}=\sum_{n\geq 0}z^n$$
h!  $\cdot [z^n]\hat{P}(z)=n!$ 

Class	OGF	Name
ε	1	Epsilon
Z	z	Atomic
$\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}$	A(z) + B(z)	Disjoint union
$\mathcal{A}  imes \mathcal{B}$	$A(z) \cdot B(z)$	Product
$Seq(\mathcal{A})$	$\frac{1}{1-A(z)}$	Sequence
$\Theta \mathcal{A}$	$\Theta A(z) = zA'(z)$	Marking
$MSet(\mathcal{A})$	$\exp\left(\sum_{k>0}A(z^k)/k ight)$	Multiset
$PSet(\mathcal{A})$	$\Big  \exp \left( \sum_{k>0} (-1)^k A(z^k)/k  ight)$	Powerset
$Cycle(\mathcal{A})$	$\sum_{k>0}rac{\phi(k)}{k}\lnrac{1}{1-A(z^k)}$	Cycle

Class	EGF	Name
ε	1	Epsilon
Z	z	Atomic
$\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}$	$\hat{A}(z)+\hat{B}(z)$	Disjoint union
$\mathcal{A}  imes \mathcal{B}$	$\hat{A}(z)\cdot\hat{B}(z)$	Product
$Seq(\mathcal{A})$	$\frac{1}{1-\hat{A}(z)}$	Sequence
$\Theta \mathcal{A}$	$\Theta \hat{A(z)} = z \hat{A'(z)}$	Marking
$Set(\mathcal{A})$	$\exp(\hat{A}(z))$	Set
$Cycle(\mathcal{A})$	$\left  \ln \left( \frac{1}{1 - \hat{A}(z)} \right) \right $	Cycle

We need often to study some characteristic of combinatorial structures, e. g., the number of left-to-right maxima in a permutation, the height of a rooted tree, the number of complex components in a graph, etc.

Suppose  $X:\mathcal{A}_n
ightarrow\mathbb{N}$  is a characteristic under study. Let

$$a_{n,k}=\#\{lpha\in\mathcal{A}\,|\,|lpha|=n,X(lpha)=k\}$$

We can view the restriction  $X_n : \mathcal{A}_n \to \mathbb{N}$  as a random variable. Then under the usual uniform model

$$\mathbb{P}[X_n = k] = \frac{a_{n,k}}{a_n}$$

## Bivariate generating functions

## Define

$$egin{aligned} A(z,u) &= \sum\limits_{n,k\geq 0} a_{n,k} z^n u^k \ &= \sum\limits_{lpha\in\mathcal{A}} z^{|lpha|} u^{X(lpha)} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_{n,k} = [z^n u^k] A(z,u)$  and

$$\mathbb{P}[X_n=k]=rac{[z^nu^k]A(z,u)}{[z^n]A(z,1)}$$

We can also define

$$egin{aligned} B(z,u) &= \sum\limits_{n,k \geq 0} \mathbb{P}[X_n = k] \; z^n u^k \ &= \sum\limits_{lpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}[lpha] \, z^{|lpha|} u^{X(lpha)} \end{aligned}$$

and thus B(z, u) is a generating function whose coefficient of  $z^n$  is the probability generating function of the r.v.  $X_n$ 

$$egin{aligned} B(z,u) &= \sum\limits_{n\geq 0} P_n(u) z^n \ P_n(u) &= [z^n] B(z,u) = \sum\limits_{k\geq 0} \mathbb{P}[X_n=k] \, u^k \end{aligned}$$

## Proposition

If P(u) is the probability generating function of a random variable X then

$$egin{aligned} P(1) &= 1, \ P'(1) &= \mathbb{E}[X]\,, \ P''(1) &= \mathbb{E}\left[X^2
ight] &= \mathbb{E}[X(X-1)]\,, \ \mathbb{V}[X] &= P''(1) + P'(1) - (P'(1))^2 \end{aligned}$$

We can study the moments of  $X_n$  by successive differentiation of B(z, u) (or A(z, u)). For instance,

$$\overline{B}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}[X_n] \, z^n = \left. rac{\partial B}{\partial u} 
ight|_{u=1}$$

For the rth factorial moments of  $X_n$ 

$$B^{(r)}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[X_n^{\underline{r}}] \, z^n = \left. rac{\partial^r B}{\partial u^r} 
ight|_{u=1}$$

$$X_n \stackrel{r}{=} = X_n \left( X_n - 1 \right) \cdots \left( X_n - r + 1 \right)$$

Consider the following specification for permutations

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ \emptyset \} + \mathcal{P} imes Z$$

The BGF for the probability that a random permutation of size n has k left-to-right maxima is

$$M(z,u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} rac{z^{|\sigma|}}{|\sigma|!} u^{X(\sigma)},$$

where  $X(\sigma) = \#$  of left-to-right maxima in  $\sigma$ 

With the recursive descomposition of permutations and since the last element of a permutation of size n is a left-to-right maxima iff its label is n

$$M(z,u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq |\sigma|+1} rac{z^{|\sigma|+1}}{(|\sigma|+1)!} u^{X(\sigma)+\llbracket j = |\sigma|+1 
raw j}$$

 $\llbracket P \rrbracket = 1$  if P is true,  $\llbracket P \rrbracket = 0$  otherwise.

## The number of left-to-right maxima in a permutation

$$egin{aligned} M(z,u) &= \sum\limits_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} rac{z^{|\sigma|+1}}{(|\sigma|+1)!} u^{X(\sigma)} \sum\limits_{1 \leq j \leq |\sigma|+1} u^{\llbracket j = |\sigma|+1 
raw } \ &= \sum\limits_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} rac{z^{|\sigma|+1}}{(|\sigma|+1)!} u^{X\sigma)} (|\sigma|+u) \end{aligned}$$

Taking derivatives w.r.t. z

$$rac{\partial}{\partial z}M = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}}rac{z^{|\sigma|}}{|\sigma|!}u^{X\sigma)}(|\sigma|+u) = zrac{\partial}{\partial z}M+uM$$

Hence,

$$(1-z)rac{\partial}{\partial z}M(z,u)-uM(z,u)=0$$

## The number of left-to-right maxima in a permutation

Solving, since M(0, u) = 1

$$M(z,u) = \left(rac{1}{1-z}
ight)^u = \sum_{n,k\geq 0} egin{bmatrix} n \ k \end{bmatrix} rac{z^n}{n!} u^k$$

where  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$  denote the (signless) Stirling numbers of the first kind, also called Stirling cycle numbers.

Taking the derivative w.r.t. u and setting u=1

$$m(z)=\left.rac{\partial}{\partial z}M(z,u)
ight|_{u=1}=rac{1}{1-z}\lnrac{1}{1-z}$$

Thus the average number of left-to-right maxima in a random permutation of size n is

$$[z^n]m(z) = \mathbb{E}[X_n] = H_n = 1 + rac{1}{2} + rac{1}{3} + \dots + rac{1}{n} = \ln n + \gamma + O(1/n)$$

$$rac{1}{1-z} \ln rac{1}{1-z} = \sum_\ell z^\ell \sum_{m>0} rac{z^m}{m} = \sum_{n\geq 0} z^n \sum_{k=1}^n rac{1}{k}$$

... back to bussiness now!

## Rank-based hiring

• The recursive decomposition of permutations

$$\mathcal{P} = \epsilon + \mathcal{P} imes Z$$

is the natural choice for the analysis of rank-based strategies, with  $\times$  denoting the labelled product.

• For each  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\{\sigma\} imes Z$  is the set of  $|\sigma|+1$  permutations

$$\{\sigma \star 1, \sigma \star 2, \dots, \sigma \star (n+1)\}, \qquad n = |\sigma|$$

 $\sigma \star j$  denotes the permutation one gets after relabelling j,  $j+1, \ldots, n = |\sigma|$  in  $\sigma$  to  $j+1, j+2, \ldots, n+1$  and appending j at the end

#### Example

 $32451 \star 3 = 425613$  $32451 \star 2 = 435612$ 

- $\mathcal{H}(\sigma)$  = the set of candidates hired in permutation  $\sigma$
- $h(\sigma) = \# \mathcal{H}(\sigma)$
- Let  $X_j(\sigma) = 1$  if candidate with score j is hired after  $\sigma$  and  $X_j(\sigma) = 0$  otherwise.

• 
$$h(\sigma \star j) = h(\sigma) + X_j(\sigma)$$

## Theorem

Let 
$$H(z, u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{z^{|\sigma|}}{|\sigma|!} u^{h(\sigma)}$$
.  
Then

$$(1-z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}H(z,u)-H(z,u)=(u-1)\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}}X(\sigma)\frac{z^{|\sigma|}}{|\sigma|!}u^{h(\sigma)},$$

where  $X(\sigma)$  the number of j such that  $X_j(\sigma) = 1$ .

## Rank-based hiring

We can write  $h(\sigma) = 0$  if  $\sigma$  is the empty permutation and  $h(\sigma \star j) = h(\sigma) + \chi_j(\sigma)$ .

$$\begin{split} H(z,u) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{z^{|\sigma|}}{|\sigma|!} u^{h(\sigma)} = 1 + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{z^{|\sigma|}}{|\sigma|!} u^{h(\sigma)} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \frac{z^{|\sigma+j|}}{|\sigma \star j|!} u^{h(\sigma\star j)} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \frac{z^{|\sigma|+1}}{(|\sigma|+1)!} u^{h(\sigma)+X_j(\sigma)} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \frac{z^{|\sigma|+1}}{(|\sigma|+1)!} u^{h(\sigma)} \sum_{1 \le j \le n} u^{X_j(\sigma)}. \end{split}$$

Since  $X_j(\sigma)$  is either 0 or 1 for all j and all  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\sum_{1\leq j\leq n} u^{X_j(\sigma)} = (|\sigma|+1-X(\sigma)) + uX(\sigma),$$

where  $X(\sigma) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq |\sigma|+1} X_j(\sigma)$ .

$$H(z, u) = 1 + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \frac{z^{|\sigma|+1}}{(|\sigma|+1)!} u^{h(\sigma)} \left( \left( |\sigma|+1-X(\sigma)\right) + uX(\sigma) \right).$$

The theorem follows after differentiation and a few additional algebraic manipulations.

A hiring strategy is pragmatic if and only if

• Whenever it would hire a candidate with score *j*, it would hire a candidate with a larger score

$$X_j(\sigma) = 1 \implies X_{j'}(\sigma) = 1$$
 for all  $j' \ge j$ 

• The number of scores it would potentially hire increases at most by one if and only if the candidate in the previous step was hired

$$X(\sigma \star j) \leq X(\sigma) + X_j(\sigma)$$

- The first condition is very natural and reasonable; the second one is technically necessary for several results we discuss later
- Above the best, above the *m*th best, above the *P*% best, ...are all pragmatic

#### Theorem

For any pragmatic hiring strategy and any permutation  $\sigma$ , the  $X(\sigma)$  best candidates of  $\sigma$  have been hired (and possibly others).

## Pragmatic strategies



Let  $r_n$  denote the rank of the last hired candidate in a random permutation, and

$$g_n = 1 - \frac{r_n}{n}$$

is called the gap.

### Theorem

For any pragmatic hiring strategy,

$$\mathbb{E}[g_n] = \frac{1}{2n} (\mathbb{E}[X_n] - 1),$$

where  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = [z^n] \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} X(\sigma) z^{|\sigma|} / |\sigma|!$ .

Candidate *i* is hired if and only if her score is above the score of the best currently hired candidate.

• 
$$X(\sigma) = 1$$

- $\mathcal{H}(\sigma) = \{i : i \text{ is a left-to-right maximum}\}$
- $\mathbb{E}[h_n] = [z^n] \left. \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \right|_{u=1} = \ln n + O(1)$
- Variance of h<sub>n</sub> is also ln n + O(1) and after proper normalization h<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub> converges to N(0, 1)

Candidate *i* is hired if and only if her score is above the score of the *m*th best currently hired candidate.

- $X(\sigma) = |\sigma| + 1$  if  $|\sigma| < m$ ;  $X(\sigma) = m$  if  $|\sigma| \ge m$
- $\mathbb{E}[h_n] = [z^n] \left. \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \right|_{u=1} = m \ln n + O(1)$  for fixed m
- Variance of h<sub>n</sub> is also m ln n + O(1) and after proper normalization h<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup> converges to N(0, 1)
- The case of arbitrary *m* can be studied by introducing  $H(z, u, v) = \sum_{m \ge 1} v^m H^{(m)}(z, u)$ , where  $H^{(m)}(z, u)$  is the GF that corresponds to a given particular *m*.
- We can show that  $\mathbb{E}[h_n] = m(H_n - H_m + 1) \sim m \ln(n/m) + m + O(1)$ , with  $H_n$ the *n*th harmonic number

Candidate i is hired if and only if her score is above the score of the median of the scores of currently hired candidates.

• 
$$X(\sigma) = \lceil (h(\sigma) + 1)/2 \rceil$$

• 
$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}}(1+O(n^{-1})) \leq \mathbb{E}[h_n] \leq 3\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}}(1+O(n^{-1}))$$

• This result follows easily by using previous theorem with  $X_L(\sigma) = (h(\sigma) + 1)/2$  and  $X_U(\sigma) = (h(\sigma) + 3)/2$  to lower and upper bound

## Hiring above the median

 $n \in \{1000, \ldots, 10000\}, M = 100$  random permutations for each n



In red: lower bound (using  $X_L$ ); in green: upper bound (using  $X_U$ ); in yellow: simulation

- Other quantities, e.g. time of the last hiring, etc. can also be analyzed using techniques from analytic combinatorics
- We have also analyzed hiring above the P% best candidate with the same machinery, actually we have explicit solutions for H(z, u)
- We have extensions of these results to cope with randomized hiring strategies
- Many variants of the problem are interesting and natural; for instance, include firing policies

# Thanks for your attention!

