Machine Learning

FIB, Master in Innovation and Research in Informatics


Marta Arias, Computer Science @ UPC

Topic 5: Nearest neighbor prediction

Nearest neighbor prediction

The **nearest neighbor** predictor uses the **local** neighborhood to compute a prediction

- ▶ 1-NN predicts using same target as the **closest** training example
- \blacktriangleright k-NN predicts using combination of targets from k closest training examples



Nearest neighbor pseudocode

- ▶ Training:
 - 1. store all examples
- ▶ **Prediction:** given new example **x**:
 - 1. Compute distance/similarity with all examples in training set
 - 2. Locate k closest
 - 3. Emit prediction by combining labels of k closest

There are three things to decide when applying k-NN:

- 1. Distance/similarity function
- 2. How many neighbors k to choose
- 3. How to combine labels of k neighbors to emit final prediction

Locality: similarities and distances

What does "closest" neighbor mean?

A crucial aspect of nearest neighbor prediction is the notion of **distance/similarity** since it affects very directly the predictions made

Let a,b,c be examples in some feature space. Then a **distance function** should satisfy:

- 1. $d(a,b) \ge 0$
- 2. d(a, b) = 0 iff a = b
- 3. d(a,b) = d(b,a) (symmetry)
- 4. $d(a,b) \le d(a,c) + d(c,b)$ (triangle inequality)

If using a **similarty function**, it should satisfy:

- 1. $-1 \ge s(a,b) \ge 1$ or $0 \ge s(a,b) \ge 1$
- 2. s(a,b) = 1 iff a = b
- 3. s(a,b) = s(b,a) (symmetry)

Examples of distance functions for continuous data in \mathbb{R}^d

▶ The most common is the **Minkownski distance** family; typically Euclidean (p = 2) or Manhattan (p = 1):

$$d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}\|_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^d |a_j - b_j|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

▶ Another choice is to use the **Mahalanobis distance** if we want to take into account covariances Σ among features:

$$d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})$$

Examples of similarity functions for continuous data in \mathbb{R}^d

► Cosine similarity

$$s(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_2}$$

▶ The **Pearson correlation measure** is similar to cosine similarity but centers data (μ is the **mean** of all training points):

$$s(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{(\mathbf{a} - \mu)^T (\mathbf{b} - \mu)}{\|\mathbf{a} - \mu\|_2 \|\mathbf{b} - \mu\|_2}$$

Examples of similarities for binary data

- ▶ Hamming distance: proportion of common "bits"
- Jaccard coefficient

$$s(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{\sum_{j} [a_j = b_j = 1]}{\sum_{j} [a_j = 1 \text{ or } b_j = 1]}$$

Example:

Here,
$$s_{Jaccard}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4$$
 and $s_{Hamming}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{8}{14} = 0.57$.

Choosing k

Nearest neighbor is very sensitive to different values of k

- \blacktriangleright if k is too low, then we can easily overfit
- ightharpoonup if k is too large, then we can underfit

So, it is important to select an appropriate k, which will depend on the dataset. Typically we use **cross-validation** or other resampling methods.

k can be seen as a hyper-parameter that trades-off bias and variance of the resulting classifier.

On combining labels to make predictions

For **classification**:

- ► Majority vote (ties broken randomly)
- ▶ Distance-weighted vote: choose weights that are higher for closer points
 - e.g. inverse of the distance or inverse of the squared distance


For **regression**:

- ightharpoonup Use the **average** of targets of k nearest neighbors
- ightharpoonup Use weighted average of targets of k nearest neighbors
 - e.g. use inverse distance as weight, or squared of inverse distance
 - ▶ kernel smoothing


Once we use weights for the prediction, we can relax the constraint of using only k examples and use the whole training set instead.

Decision boundaries for nearest neighbors classifier

In 1-nearest neighbor, the decision regions correspond to the union of each example's Voronoi cell, with appropriate "class"



The decision boundaries and regions are highly non-linear, but get smoother as we increase \boldsymbol{k}



Considerations with k-NN

- ▶ Making prediction can be **slow** especially if we have a large training set
 - $\,\blacktriangleright\,$ data structures like kd trees used to speed up neighbor retrieval, only good for moderate nr. of features
 - use prototypes
 - ▶ use approximate neighbors; e.g. with *locality sensitive hashing*
- ▶ Prone to **overfitting**
 - ▶ remove "noisy" examples from training (e.g. examples with nearest neighbor of different class)
 - ▶ use prototypes
 - \triangleright set k to appropriate value
- Suffers from curse of dimensionality: as dimensionality increases, everything seems to be close
- ▶ Suffers from presence of **irrelevant** features
- Standarization of features is crucial to avoid domination of features with larger (absolute) values