

Linear Programming approximation: Primal Dual algorithms

Maria Serna

Spring 2024

1 Primal-Dual algorithms

Primal dual algorithms

- Primal-Dual algorithms iterate obtaining primal/dual feasible solutions by increasing values of variables until a restriction is **tight** (fulfilled with equality).
- If at some point objective functions match, we have found an optimal solution.
- If at some point relaxed complementary slackness holds, for some r , we have found a r -approximate solution.

Bipartite graphs

MAXIMUM WEIGHT MATCHING IN BIPARTITE GRAPHS) (MWM-BG)

Given a bipartite graph $G = (A, B, E)$ and a weight function $w : E \rightarrow R$ find a matching of maximum weight where the weight of matching M is given by $w(M) = \sum_{e \in M} w(e)$.

MINIMUM WEIGHT PERFECT MATCHING ON BIPARTITE GRAPHS)(mWPM-BG)

Given a bipartite graph $G = (A, B, E)$ and a weight function $w : E \rightarrow R \cup \infty$ find a matching of maximum weight where the weight of matching M is given by $w(M) = \sum_{e \in M} w(e)$.

Is $MWM-BG \leq mWPM-BG$? YES!, even for complete bipartite graphs with $|A| = |B|$!

ILP for MWPM-BG

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} w(a, b) x_{a,b} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{b \in B} x_{a,b} = 1 \quad \forall a \in A \\ & \sum_{a \in A} x_{a,b} = 1 \quad \forall b \in B \\ & x_{a,b} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall a \in A, b \in B \end{aligned}$$

In the LP relaxation, the last changes to $x_{a,b} \geq 0 \quad \forall a \in A, b \in B$

ILP for MWPM-BG: The dual of the relaxed LP

Primal

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \quad \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} w(a, b) x_{a,b} \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{b \in B} x_{a,b} = 1 \quad \forall a \in A \\
 & \sum_{a \in A} x_{a,b} = 1 \quad \forall b \in B \\
 & x_{a,b} \geq 0 \quad \forall a \in A, b \in B
 \end{aligned}$$

The dual has a variable for each vertex y_a, y_b and the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max \quad \sum_{a \in A} y_a + \sum_{b \in B} y_b \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & y_a + y_b \leq w(a, b) \quad \forall a \in A, b \in B \\
 & y_a \geq 0 \quad \forall a \in A \\
 & y_b \geq 0 \quad \forall b \in B
 \end{aligned}$$

ILP for MWPM-BG: tight edges

An edge $e = (a, b)$ is **tight**, for a dual feasible solution y , if $y_a + y_b = w(e)$.

Let \hat{y} be dual-feasible, and let M be a perfect matching in $G = (A, B, E)$, then

$$w(M) = \sum_{(a,b) \in M} w(a,b) \geq \sum_{(a,b) \in M} (\hat{y}_a + \hat{y}_b) \geq \sum_{a \in A} \hat{y}_a + \sum_{b \in B} \hat{y}_b$$

The first inequality by feasibility and the second because M is a perfect matching.

If all edges in M are tight equality holds and M is optimal.

MWPM-BG: Primal dual algorithm

- The primal dual algorithm starts with a dual feasible solution, and a matching.
- At each time step it improves the number of tight edges and the weight of the matching, until the matching is perfect.
- At this point an optimal solution has been found.

MWPM-BG: Primal dual algorithm

```

function PRIMAL-DUAL MWPM-BG( $A, B, E, w$ )
   $y_b = 0$ , for  $b \in B$  and  $y_a = \min_b w(a, b)$ , for  $a \in A$ 
   $E'$  = set of tight edges
   $M$  = max cardinality matching in  $G' = (A, B, E')$ 
  while  $M$  is not a perfect matching do
     $\vec{E} = \{e \in E' \mid e \notin M \text{ (as } \overrightarrow{AB})\} \cup \{e \in M \text{ (as } \overrightarrow{BA})\}$ 
     $D = (A \cup B, \vec{E})$  % a directed graph.
     $L = \{v \in A \cup B \mid v \text{ is reachable in } D \text{ from an}$ 
      unmatched vertex in  $A\}$ 
     $\epsilon = \min_{a \in A \cap L} (w(a, b) - y_a - y_b)$ 
     $y_a = y_a + \epsilon$ , for  $a \in A \cap L$  and  $y_b = y_b - \epsilon$ , for  $b \in B \cap L$ 
     $E'$  = set of tight edges
     $M$  = max cardinality matching in  $G' = (A, V, E')$ 
  return  $M$ 

```

MWPM-BG: Primal dual algorithm

Claim

After one iteration of the while loop

- y is a feasible dual solution.
- The number of tight edges strictly increases.

Theorem

Algorithm **PRIMAL-DUAL MWPM-BG** terminates in $O(|A \cup B|^3)$ iterations.

Primal-Dual for vertex cover

VC

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, we want to find a set S , with minimum number of vertices, so that every edge in G has at least one end point in S .

- We know how to formulate **VC** as an IP problem
- We know how to relax the IP formulation as LP problem
- We know how to compute the dual of the LP problem

Vertex cover: LP relaxation

IP

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_i + x_j \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \in E \\ & x_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{for all } i \in V \end{aligned}$$

LP

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_i + x_j \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \in E \\ & x_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in V \end{aligned}$$

- Let opt be the size of an optimal solution of the VC instance.
- Let x^* be an optimal solution of the LP and $s^* = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^*$.
- $s^* \leq \text{opt}$

Vertex cover: Primal-Dual approximation

LP primal

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_i + x_j \geq 1 \quad e = (i, j) \in E \\ & x_i \geq 0 \quad i \in V \end{aligned}$$

LP dual

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{e \in E} z_e \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in e} z_e \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i \in V \\ & z_e \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } e \in E \end{aligned}$$

- Start with the integer infeasible primal solution $x = 0$, and the dual feasible solution $z = 0$.
- Repeat while some constraint in primal is unsatisfied:
 - Increase all (unfrozen) variables z_e until some dual constraint becomes tight (say, for vertex i).
 - Set $x_i = 1$. Freeze all the variables z_e such that $i \in e$.

Vertex cover: Primal-Dual approximation

- When the process stops, we have increased the variables z_e suitably.
- Some vertices i were chosen ($x_i = 1$)
- This set S of vertices is our output.
- Is S a vertex cover?
Otherwise, we would have continued as some primal constraint were still unsatisfied.
- Cost of the solution?
At the end of the algorithm x, z are feasible. Relaxed complementary slackness?.

Primal-Dual approximation: relaxed complementary conditions

Two conditions hold:

- Primal:

If $x_i > 0$, we have frozen $x_i = 1$ at some step,
then $\sum_{i \in e} z_e = 1$.

- Dual:

If $z_e > 0$, z_e is increased. We do not know if it is because for one or both endpoints the constraints got tight, but $x_i + x_j \leq 2 \leq 2c_i$, for $e = (i, j)$.

- So, relaxed complementary slackness conditions hold for $r = 2$. A 2-approximation 😊.

Primal-Dual for weighted vertex cover

WVC

Given a vertex weighted graph $G = (V, A, c)$ we want to find a set $S \subset V$ with minimum weight, so that every edge in G has at least one end point in S .

- The problem is NP-hard and belongs to NPO.
- Can we formulate **WVC** as an IP problem?
- Variables: $x_1 \dots x_n$, $x_i = 1$ iff $i \in S$.
- Objective function: $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i$.
- Restrictions: for every edge $(i, j) \in E$, $x_i + x_j \geq 1$
- $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$
- The IP can be computed in polytime.

Weighted vertex cover: LP relaxation

IP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \\ \text{s.t.} & x_i + x_j \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \in E \\ & x_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{for all } i \in V \end{array}$$

LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \\ \text{s.t.} & x_i + x_j \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \in E \\ & x_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in V \end{array}$$

Weighted vertex cover: Primal-Dual approximation

LP primal

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_i + x_j \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \in E \\ & x_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in V \end{aligned}$$

LP dual

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{e \in E} z_e \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in e} z_e \leq c_i \quad \text{for all } i \in V \\ & z_e \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } e \in E \end{aligned}$$

- Start with the integer infeasible primal solution $x = 0$, and the dual feasible solution $z = 0$.
- Repeat while some constraint in primal is unsatisfied:
 - Increase all (unfrozen) variables z_e until some dual constraint becomes tight (say, for vertex i).
 - Set $x_i = 1$. Freeze all the variables z_e such that $i \in e$.

Weighted vertex cover: Primal-Dual approximation

- When the process stops, we have increased the variables z_e suitably.
- Some vertices i were chosen ($x_i = 1$)
- This set S of vertices is our output and again is a vertex cover.
- Cost of the solution? x, z are feasible. Relaxed complementary slackness conditions?

Primal-Dual approximation: relaxed complementary conditions

Two conditions hold:

- Primal:

If $x_i > 0$, we have frozen $x_i = 1$ at some step,
then $\sum_{i \in e} z_e = c_i$.

- Dual:

If $z_e > 0$, z_e is increased. We do not know if it is because for one or both endpoints the constraints got tight, but $x_i + x_j \leq 2 \leq 2c_i$, for $e = (i, j)$.

- So, relaxed complementary conditions hold for $r = 2$ and we have a 2-approximation for **WVC**.

Primal-Dual approximation: generalizing the approach

- In the algorithm, we increased the (active) dual variables simultaneously.
- Trying to get the highest (the best) lower bound that we can get for the primal minimization objective.
In general, this step can be implemented solving another LP program!
- We can also increase edge variables one by one. This leads to another primal-dual approximation algorithm **PRICING METHOD**

Pricing method: another view of Primal-Dual

- Each edge must be covered by some vertex.
- Edge $e = (i, j)$ pays price $z_e \geq 0$ to use both vertex i and j .
- Fairness: Edges incident to vertex i should pay $\leq c_i$ in total.
- Prices z_e are **fair** if, for any vertex cover S , $\sum_e z_e \leq w(S)$.
- A **vertex is tight** with respect to a pricing z if $\sum_{i \in e} z_e = c_i$.

Pricing algorithm

Set prices and find vertex cover simultaneously.

function PRICING WVC(G, c)

$S = \emptyset;$

for $e \in E$ **do**

$z[e] = 0$

% initial price is 0

while there is $(i, j) \in E$ so that neither i nor j is tight **do**

select such an edge $e = (i, j)$

Increase $z[e]$ until i or j became tight.

Add to S the vertex (vertices) that became tight.

return S

Pricing algorithm

Theorem

PRICING WVC is a 2-approximation for **WVC**.

- Follows directly from primal-dual arguments.
- However, **PRICING WVC** is a greedy algorithm.
- No LP solver has been used!