

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

1 Linear Programming

・ロ・・ 日本・ キャー 神

2 Duality

Linear Programming.

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

In a linear programming problem, we are given a set of variables, an objective function a set of linear constrains and want to assign real values to the variables as to:

- satisfy the set of linear equations,
- maximize or minimize the objective function.

LP is of special interest because many combinatorial optimization problems can be reduced to LP: Max-Flow; Assignment problems; Matchings; Shortest paths; MinST; ...

Example.

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP A company produces 2 products P1, and P2, and wishes to maximize the profits.

Each day, the company *can produce* x_1 units of P1 and x_2 units of P2.

The company *makes a profit* of 1 for each unit of P1; and a profit of 6 for each unit of P2.

Due to supply limitations and labor constrains we have the following additional constrains: $x_1 \le 200, x_2 \le 300$ and $x_1 + x_2 \le 400$.

What are the best levels of production?

We express this problem as a linear program:

Linear Programming Duality

Objective function: $\max(x_1 + 6x_2)$ subject to the constraints: $x_1 \le 200$ $x_2 \le 300$ $x_1 + x_2 \le 400$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

We express this problem as a linear program:

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

Objective function: $\max(x_1 + 6x_2)$ subject to the constraints: $x_1 \le 200$ $x_2 \le 300$ $x_1 + x_2 \le 400$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0.$

Recall a linear equation in x_1 and x_2 defines a line in \mathbb{R}^2 . A linear inequality defines a half-space.

We express this problem as a linear program:

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

Objective function: $\max(x_1 + 6x_2)$ subject to the constraints: $x_1 \le 200$ $x_2 \le 300$ $x_1 + x_2 \le 400$ $x_1, x_2 \ge 0.$

Recall a linear equation in x_1 and x_2 defines a line in \mathbb{R}^2 . A linear inequality defines a half-space. The feasible region of this LP are the (x_1, x_2) in the convex

polygon defined by the linear constrains.

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP



Linear Programming Duality

In a linear program the optimum is achieved at a vertex of the feasible region.

- A LP is infeasible if
 - The constrains are so tight that there are impossible to satisfy all of them. For ex. x ≥ 2 and x ≤ 1,
 - The constrains are so loose that the feasible region is unbounded. For ex. max(x₁ + x₂) with x₁, x₂ ≥ 0

Higher dimensions.

(日)

Linear Programming Duality

Higher dimensions.

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

 $egin{array}{l} \max(x_1+6x_2+13x_3)\ x_1\leq 200\ x_2\leq 300\ x_1+x_2+x_3\leq 400\ x_2+3x_3\leq 600\ x_1,x_2,x_3\geq 0. \end{array}$

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほとう

æ,





・ロ・・日・・日・・日・ のくの

Standard form of a Linear Program.

INPUT: Given real numbers $(c_i)_{i=1}^n, (a_{ji})_{1 \le j \le m \& 1 \le i \le n} (b_j)_{j=1}^m$ OUTPUT: real values for variables $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ such that

- the objective function $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_j$ is minimized under the values verifying,
- for $1 \le j \le m$, $\sum_i a_{ji} x_i \ge b_j$
- A linear programming problem is the problem or maximizing (minimizing) a linear function the objective function subject to a finite set of linear constraints
- A LP is in standard form if the following are true:
 - We want to minimize the objective function.
 - Non-negative constraints for all variables.
 - All remaining constraints are expressed as \geq constraints.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

Equivalent formulations of LP.

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

In principle LP has many degrees of freedom:

- **1** It can be a maximization or a minimization problem.
- **2** Its constrains could be equalities or inequalities.
- 3 The variables are often restricted to be non-negative, but they also could be unrestricted.

Most of the "real life" constrains are given as inequalities. The main reason to convert a LP into standard form is because the solvers for LP starts with a LP in standard form.

• To convert inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \leq b$ into equality:

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人間 アー

臣

Linear Programming Duality

• To convert inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \leq b$ into equality: introduce a slack variable $s \geq 0$ and replace inequality by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i + s = b$. The slack variable s_i measures the amount of "non-used

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

resource."

Ex: $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \le 40$ is replaced by $s \ge 0$ and $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + s = 40$ So that, $s = 40 - (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$

Linear Programming

Integer LF

 To convert inequality ∑_{i=1}ⁿ a_ix_i ≤ b into equality: introduce a slack variable s ≥ 0 and replace inequality by ∑_{i=1}ⁿ a_ix_i + s = b. The slack variable s_i measures the amount of "non-used

resource."

Ex: $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \le 40$ is replaced by $s \ge 0$ and $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + s = 40$ So that, $s = 40 - (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$

• To convert inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \ge -b$ into equality:

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

Linear Programming Duality

• To convert inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \le b$ into equality: introduce a slack variable $s \ge 0$ and replace inequality by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i + s = b$. The slack variable s_i measures the amount of "non-used

resource."

Ex: $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \le 40$ is replaced by $s \ge 0$ and $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + s = 40$ So that, $s = 40 - (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$

 To convert inequality ∑_{i=1}ⁿ a_ix_i ≥ −b into equality: introduce a surplus variable s ≥ 0 and ∑_{i=1}ⁿ a_ix_i − s = b. The surplus variable s ≥ 0 measures the extra amount of used resource.

Ex:
$$-x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \ge 4 \Rightarrow -x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - s_1 = 4$$

Linear Programming Duality

To to deal with an unrestricted variable x (i.e. x can be positive or negative):

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

Linear Programming Duality

To to deal with an unrestricted variable x (i.e. x can be positive or negative): introduce x⁺, x⁻ ≥ 0, and replace all occurrences of x by x⁺ - x⁻.
 Ex: x unconstrained ⇒ x = x⁺ - x⁻ with x⁺ ≥ 0 and

 $x^{-} \geq 0.$

Linear Programming

Linear Programming Duality

Integer LP

To to deal with an unrestricted variable x (i.e. x can be positive or negative): introduce x⁺, x⁻ ≥ 0, and replace all occurrences of x by x⁺ - x⁻.
 Ex: x unconstrained ⇒ x = x⁺ - x⁻ with x⁺ ≥ 0 and x⁻ > 0.

To turn max. problem into min. problem:

Linear Programming Duality • To to deal with an unrestricted variable x (i.e. x can be positive or negative): introduce $x^+, x^- \ge 0$, and replace all occurrences of x by $x^+ - x^-$. Ex: x unconstrained $\Rightarrow x = x^+ - x^-$ with $x^+ \ge 0$ and $x^- > 0$.

To turn max. problem into min. problem: multiply the coefficients of the objective function by -1.
 Ex: max(10x₁ + 60x₂ + 140x₃) is equivalent to min(-10x₁ - 60x₂ - 140x₃).

《曰》《卽》《臣》《臣》

Linear Programming Duality Integer I P To to deal with an unrestricted variable x (i.e. x can be positive or negative): introduce x⁺, x⁻ ≥ 0, and replace all occurrences of x by x⁺ - x⁻.
 Ex: x unconstrained ⇒ x = x⁺ - x⁻ with x⁺ ≥ 0 and x⁻ > 0.

To turn max. problem into min. problem: multiply the coefficients of the objective function by -1.
 Ex: max(10x₁ + 60x₂ + 140x₃) is equivalent to min(-10x₁ - 60x₂ - 140x₃).

Applying these transformations, we can rewrite any LP into standard form, in which variables are all non-negative, the constrains are equalities, and the objective function is to be minimized.

Algebraic representation of LP

Linear Programming Duality

Integer LP

Let
$$c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$
, $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ and let $A = (a_{ji})$ be the $m \times n$ matrix of the coefficients involved in the constrains.

A LP can be represented using matrix and vectors:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{j} \qquad \min \sum_{i=1}^{n} c^{T} x$$

subject to
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ji} x_{i} \ge b_{j}, \ 1 \le j \le m \qquad Ax \ge b$$

$$x_{i} \ge 0, \ 1 \le i \le n \qquad x \ge 0$$

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

Given a LP min $c^T x$ subject to $Ax \ge b$ $x \ge 0$

Any x that satisfies the constraints is a *feasible solution*. A LP is *feasible* if there exists a feasible solution. Otherwise is said to be *infeasible*.

A feasible solution x^* is an optimal solution if

$$c^{\mathsf{T}}x^* = \min\{c^{\mathsf{T}}x | Ax \ge b, x \ge 0\}$$

The Geometry of LP



Theorem

If there exists an optimal solution to P, x, then there exists one that is a vertex of the polytope.

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

Intuition of proof If x is not a vertex, move in a non-decreasing direction until reach a boundary. Repeat, following the boundary.



The Simplex algorithm

LP can be solved efficiently: George Dantzing (1947)



Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

It uses hill-climbing: Start in a vertex of the feasible polytope and look for an adjacent vertex of better objective value. Until reaching a vertex that has no neighbor with better objective function.





Complexity of LP:

Input to LP: The number n of variables in the LP.

Simplex could be exponential on *n*: there exists specific input (the Klee-Minty cube [1970]) where the usual versions of the simplex algorithm may actually "cycle" in the path to the optimal. (see Ch.6 in Papadimitriou-Steiglitz, *Comb. Optimization: Algorithms and Complexity*)

In practice, the simplex algorithm is quite efficient and can find the global optimum (if certain precautions against cycling are taken).

It is known that simplex solves "typical" (random) problems in $O(n^3)$ steps. Simplex is the main choice to solve LP, among engineers.

But some software packages use interior-points algorithms, which guarantee poly-time termination,

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

1 Linear Programming

2 Duality

3 Integer LF

Primal, Dual and Weak Duality

Linear Programming Duality

Primal

Consider a LP in *n* variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ with *m* constraints represented by matrix *A*, independent terms *b*, and objective function *b*.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \ge b \\ & x \ge 0 \end{array}$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Primal, Dual and Weak Duality

Linear Programming Duality

Primal

Consider a LP in *n* variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ with *m* constraints represented by matrix *A*, independent terms *b*, and objective function *b*.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \ge b \\ & x \ge 0 \end{array}$

The dual is an effort to construct the best lower bound for the primal objective function.

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人間 アー

臣

LP (PRIMAL)

Linear Programming Duality

Integer LF

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^{T}x\\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \ge b\\ & x > 0 \end{array}$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

3

Linear Programming Duality

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \ge b \\ & x > 0 \end{array}$

LP (PRIMAL)

if x^* opt, $y^T A x$ is a general linear combination of equations, if we can select y so that $y^T A x^* = c^T x^*$, $c^T x^* \ge y^T b$

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

LP (PRIMAL)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \ge b \\ & x \ge 0 \end{array}$

if x^* opt, $y^T Ax$ is a general linear combination of equations, if we can select y so that $y^T Ax^* = c^T x^*$, $c^T x^* \ge y^T b$ The best lower bound, for any *x*?

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

LP (PRIMAL)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^{T}x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \ge b \\ & x \ge 0 \end{array}$

The best lower bound, for any *x*?

 $\begin{array}{ll} \max & b^T y \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y = c \\ & y \ge 0 \end{array}$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

if x^* opt, $y^T Ax$ is a general linear combination of equations, if we can select y so that $y^T Ax^* = c^T x^*$, $c^T x^* \ge y^T b$

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

LP (PRIMAL)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \ge b \\ & x \ge 0 \end{array}$

if x^* opt, $y^T Ax$ is a general linear combination of equations, if we can select y so that $y^T Ax^* = c^T x^*$, $c^T x^* \ge y^T b$

The best lower bound, for any *x*?

 $\begin{array}{ll} \max & b^T y \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y = c \\ & y \ge 0 \end{array}$

But as we are maximizing this is equivalent to

▲口▼▲□▼▲田▼▲田▼ 田 ろん⊙

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

LP (PRIMAL)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^{T}x\\ \text{s.t.} & Ax \geq b\\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$

if x^* opt, $y^T Ax$ is a general linear combination of equations, if we can select y so that $y^T Ax^* = c^T x^*$, $c^T x^* \ge y^T b$ The best lower bound, for any *x*?

 $\begin{array}{ll} \max & b^T y \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y = c \\ & y \ge 0 \end{array}$

But as we are maximizing this is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & b^T y \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y \leq c & \text{DUAL} \\ & y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Linear Programming Duality

> Working from the dual trying to get the best lower bound we come back to the primal.

> > イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Let
$$G = (V, E)$$
 be a graph.

Linear Programming

Duality

Integer LF

Linear Programming Duality

eger LP

Let
$$G = (V, E)$$
 be a graph.

LP primal

min

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$

s.t.
$$i=1$$
$$x_i + x_j \ge 1 \quad e = (i,j) \in E$$
$$x_i \ge 0 \quad i \in V$$

Linear Programming Duality

LP primal
min
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

s.t. $x_i + x_j \ge 1$ $e = (i, j) \in E$
 $x_i \ge 0$ $i \in V$

Let G = (V, E) be a graph.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{LP} \ \mathsf{dual} \\ \mathsf{max} & \sum_{e \in E} z_e \\ \mathsf{s.t.} & \sum_{i \in e} z_e \leq 1 \quad \mathsf{for \ all} \ i \in V \\ & z_e \geq 0 \quad \mathsf{for \ all} \ e \in E \end{array}$$

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</td>

Example: The Max-Flow problem



▲口▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ● ○○○

The Min Cut problem

Linear Programming Duality

Integer LF

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min \;\; 3y_{sa} + 2y_{sb} + y_{ab} + y_{at} + y_{bt} \\ & y_{sa} + u_a \geq 1 \\ & y_{sb} + u_b \geq 1 \\ & y_{ab} - u_a + u_b \geq 0 \\ & y_{at} - u_a \leq 1 \\ & y_{bt} - u_b \leq 3 \\ & y_{sa}, y_{sb}, y_{ab}, y_{at}, y_{bt}, u_a, u_b \geq 0. \end{array}$$

This D - LP defines the min-cut problem where for $x \in \{a, b\}$, $u_x = 1$ iff vertex $x \in S$, and $y_{xz} = 1$ iff $(x, z) \in \text{cut } (S, T)$.

Strong and Weak duality theorem

Linear Programming Duality

Integer LP

There are additional conditions for a pair (x, y) of primal-dual optimal/feasible solutions.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

Strong and Weak duality theorem

Linear Programming Duality There are additional conditions for a pair (x, y) of primal-dual optimal/feasible solutions.

Theorem (Strong duality)

If the primal has an optimal solution x^* then the dual has an optimal solution y^* such that $c^T x^* = b^T y^*$

Strong and Weak duality theorem

Linear Programming Duality There are additional conditions for a pair (x, y) of primal-dual optimal/feasible solutions.

Theorem (Strong duality)

If the primal has an optimal solution x^* then the dual has an optimal solution y^* such that $c^T x^* = b^T y^*$

Theorem (Weak Duality)

For every feasible solution x to the primal and every solution z to the dual,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \ge \sum_{j=1}^m b_j z_j$$

(ロ) (日)

Conditions for optimality: Complementary slackness

Linear Programming Duality

Let x be a feasible solution to the primal and let z be a feasible solution to the dual.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Primal complementary slackness

If
$$x_i > 0$$
, then $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} z_j = c_i$.

Dual complementary slackness

If $z_j > 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}x_i = b_j$.

Conditions for optimality: Complementary slackness

Theorem

Programmin Duality

Integer LP

If (x, y) satisfies complementary slackness, then x and y are optimal solutions for primal and dual problems, respectively.

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} z_j) x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_i) z_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j z_j$$

Max Flow and LP

Linear Programming Duality Min Cost Max Flow: Given a flow network and a valuation of the cost of transporting a unit of flow along each edge. Find a maximum flow with minimum cost.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Max Flow and LP

Linear Programming Duality Min Cost Max Flow: Given a flow network and a valuation of the cost of transporting a unit of flow along each edge. Find a maximum flow with minimum cost.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Max Flow and LP

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP Min Cost Max Flow: Given a flow network and a valuation of the cost of transporting a unit of flow along each edge. Find a maximum flow with minimum cost.

- Max-Flow Min Cut theorem follows from strong duality
- It is easy to adapt the LP for MaxFlow to ensure that the flow value is F and incorporate the cost in the objective function.
 - Add the equation f(s, V) = FObjective function: minimize $\sum_{e \in E} c_e f_e$
- This approach provides a polynomial time algorithm for the Min Cost Max Flow problem.

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

1 Linear Programming

2 Duality

Integer Linear Programming (ILP)

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP Consider the Min Vertex Cover problem: Given an undirected G = (V, E) with |V| = n and |E| = m, want to find $S \subseteq V$ with minimal cardinality s.t.. it covers all edges $e \in E$.

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - -

Integer Linear Programming (ILP)

 $i \in V$:

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

with minimal cardinality s.t.. it covers all edges e ∈ E.
This problem can be expressed as a linear program on {0,1} variables, interpreting a solution as Let x ∈ {0,1}ⁿ be seen as a set S, in the usual way, for

Consider the Min Vertex Cover problem: Given an undirected G = (V, E) with |V| = n and |E| = m, want to find $S \subseteq V$

$$x_i = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } i \in S \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Integer Linear Programming (ILP)

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP Consider the Min Vertex Cover problem: Given an undirected G = (V, E) with |V| = n and |E| = m, want to find $S \subseteq V$ with minimal cardinality s.t.. it covers all edges $e \in E$.

This problem can be expressed as a linear program on {0,1} variables, interpreting a solution as
Let x ∈ {0,1}ⁿ be seen as a set S, in the usual way, for
i ∈ V:

$$\mathbf{x}_i = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } i \in S \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Under this interpretation we the constraints $\forall (i,j) \in E$ $x_i + x_j \ge 1$ are equivalent to say that S is a vertex cover. The constraints give $Ax \ge 1$.

We can express the min VC problem as:

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

min $\sum_{i \in V} x_i$ subject to $x_i + x_j \ge (i, j) \in E$ $x_i \in \{0, 1\}, i \in V$

We can express the min VC problem as:

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

```
min \sum_{i \in V} x_i
subject to
x_i + x_j \ge (i, j) \in E
x_i \in \{0, 1\}, i \in V
```

where we have a new constrain, we require the solution to be 0,1. This can be replaced by requiring the variables to be positive integers (as we are minimizing).

Asking for the best possible integral solution for a LP is known as the Integer Linear Programming:

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

The ILP problem is defined:

```
Given A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m} together with b \in \mathbb{Z}^n and c \in \mathbb{Z}^m, find a x that max (min) c^T subject to:
```

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

min $c^T x$ subject to $Ax \ge 1$ $x \in \mathbb{Z}^m$,

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP

```
The ILP problem is defined:
```

Given $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ together with $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, find a x that max (min) c^T subject to:

 $\begin{array}{l} \min \ c^T x\\ \text{subject to}\\ Ax \geq 1\\ x \in \mathbb{Z}^m, \end{array}$

Big difference between LP and ILP: Ellipsoidal/Interior point methods solve LP in polynomial time but ILP is NP-hard.

Solvers for LP

Linear Programming Duality Integer LP Due to the importance of LP and ILP as models to solve optimization problem, there is a very active research going on to design new algorithms and heuristics to improve the running time for solving LP (algorithms) IPL (heuristics).

There are a myriad of solvers packages:

CPLEX:

http://ampl.com/products/solvers/solvers-we-sell/cplex/

GUROBI Optimizer:

http://www.gurobi.com/products/gurobi-optimizer