Some definitions:

- A Boolean variable $x$ can take values 0,1 .
- A Boolean formula is an expression constructed from Boolean variables and connectives, negation $(\neg$ or $\bar{\phi})$, disjunction $(\vee)$ and conjunction $(\wedge)$.
- A Boolean formula $\phi$ is satisfiable if there is a truth assignment $T: X \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ to the variables in $\phi$ such that $T(\phi)=1$.

For example, for $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$,

$$
\phi=\left(x_{1} \vee \bar{x}_{3}\right) \wedge\left(\bar{x}_{1} \vee \bar{x}_{2} \vee x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(\bar{x}_{1} \vee \bar{x}_{2} \vee \bar{x}_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right)
$$

is satisfiable, take $T\left(x_{1}\right)=T\left(x_{2}\right)=0, T\left(x_{3}\right)=1$ then $T(\phi)=1$.

- A literal is a Boolean variable $x$ or a negation of a Boolean variable $\bar{x}$.
- A clause is a disjunction (conjunction) of literals.
- A Boolean formula $\phi$ in Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF) is a conjunction of (disjunction) clauses, $\phi=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\left(C_{i}\right)$, where each clause $C_{i}=\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_{i}}\left\{l_{j}\right\}$.

For example, for $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, a CNF formula is

$$
\phi=\left(x_{1} \vee \bar{x}_{3}\right) \wedge\left(\bar{x}_{1} \vee \bar{x}_{2} \vee x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(\bar{x}_{1} \vee \bar{x}_{2} \vee \bar{x}_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right)
$$

- A Boolean formula $\phi$ in Disjunctive Normal Form ( $D N F$ ) is expressed as a disjunction of (conjunction) clauses, $\phi=\bigvee_{i=1}^{m}\left(C_{i}\right)$, where each clause $C_{i}=\bigwedge_{j=1}^{k_{i}}\left\{l_{j}\right\}$.

Some computational problems:

- SAT: Given a boolean formula $\phi$ in CNF, is $\phi$ satisfiable?
- $k$-SAT: Given a boolean formula in CNF where each clause has exactly $k$ literals, is $\phi$ satisfiable?
- DNF-SAT: Given a boolean formula $\phi$ in DNF, is $\phi$ satisfiable?
- $k$-DNF-SAT: Given a boolean formula in DNF where each clause has exactly $k$ literals, is $\phi$ satisfiable?

8. (DNF vs CNF)
(a) Show that DNF-SAT and CNF-SAT belong to NP, and that DNF-SAT has a polynomial time algorithm.
(b) Show that a CNF formula can be converted into an equivalent DNF formula on the same variables. How much time takes this computation?
9. Show that $k$-SAT belongs to NP, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
10. Recall that the 3-SAT problem is a restricted version of SAT where each clause has exactly 3 literal. Let $\phi=\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be a CNF formula on a set $X$ of variables. let $z_{i}$ be the literal $x_{i}$ or $\bar{x}_{i}$. We construct a formula $\phi^{\prime}=f(\phi)$ on a set $X^{\prime}$ of variables ( $X \subseteq X^{\prime}$ ) having all clauses with 3 literals.
For each clause in $\phi, f$ determines a set of clauses to be included in $\phi^{\prime}$ replacing the clause in $\phi$. We add variables when needed. The replacements depend on the size $k$ of clause $C_{j}$.

- If $k=1, C_{j}=z$, we add variables $\left\{y_{j 1}, y_{j 2}\right\}$ and clauses

$$
C_{j}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(z \vee y_{j 1} \vee y_{j 2}\right),\left(z \vee \bar{y}_{j 1} \vee y_{j 2}\right),\left(z \vee y_{j 1} \vee \bar{y}_{j 2}\right),\left(z \vee \bar{y}_{j 1} \vee \bar{y}_{j 2}\right)\right\} .
$$

- If $k=2, C_{j}=z_{1} \vee z_{2}$, we add variable $y_{j}$ and clauses

$$
C_{j}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(z_{1} \vee z_{2} \vee y\right),\left(z_{1} \vee z_{2} \vee \bar{y}\right)\right\} .
$$

- If $k=3$, we add $C_{j}=\left(z_{1} \vee z_{2} \vee z_{3}\right)$ to $\phi^{\prime}$.
- If $k>3, C_{j}=\left(z_{1} \vee z_{2} \vee \cdots \vee z_{k}\right)$, add variables $\left\{y_{j 1}, y_{j 2}, \ldots, y_{j k-3}\right\}$ and the clauses

$$
C_{j}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(z_{1} \vee z_{2} \vee y_{j 1}\right),\left(\bar{y}_{j 1} \vee z_{3} \vee y_{j 2}\right), \ldots,\left(\bar{y}_{j k-3} \vee z_{k-1} \vee z_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

Does this construction provide a reduction from SAT to 3-SAT? Can $f$ be computed in polynomial time?
11. Consider a 2-SAT instance $\phi$. Define an associated directed graph $G_{\phi}$ having one vertex for each literal appearing in $\phi$. For each clause $\left(\ell_{1} \vee \ell_{2}\right)$ in $\phi$, add the edges ( $\bar{\ell}_{1}, \ell_{2}$ ) and $\left(\bar{\ell}_{2}, \ell_{1}\right)$ to $G_{\phi}$. Show that $\phi$ is satisfiable iff there is no strongly connectyed component containing both $x$ and $\bar{x}$ in $G_{\phi}$.
Can you use this result to show that 2-SAT belongs to P?

