Mathematical Logic and Linguistics Slides 4

Glyn Morrill & Oriol Valentín

Department of Computer Science Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya morrill@cs.upc.edu & oriol.valentin@gmail.com

> BGSMath Course Autumn 2015

On tree-based hypersequent syntax

On tree-based hypersequent syntax

- ► A logical metaphor: NL_{Assc} vs L.
- Absorbing structural rules in the Lambek calculus L.

Consider the following set of structural terms:

StructTerm $:= \mathcal{F} |\mathbb{I}| (StructTerm \circ StructTerm)$

In fact, StructTerm is a free groupoid generated by $\mathcal F$ with a distinguished structural constant.

Let us consider the *non-associative Lambek calculus* **NL**:

$$\frac{T \to A \qquad S[A] \to B}{S[T] \to B} Cut$$

$$\frac{T \to A \qquad S[B] \to C}{S[(B/A \circ T)] \to C} / L \qquad \frac{(T \circ A) \Rightarrow B}{T \Rightarrow B/A} / R$$

$$\frac{T \to A \qquad S[B] \to C}{S[(T \circ A \setminus B)] \to C} \setminus L \qquad \frac{(A \circ T) \Rightarrow B}{T \Rightarrow A \setminus A} \setminus R$$

$$\frac{T[(A \circ B)] \to C}{T[(A \bullet B)] \to C} \bullet L \qquad \frac{T \to A}{(T \circ S) \to A \bullet B} \bullet R$$

NL continued

$$\frac{T[\mathbb{I}] \to A}{T[I] \to A} IL \qquad \overline{\mathbb{I} \to I} IR$$

$$\frac{T[S \circ \mathbb{I}] \to A}{T[S] \to A} Unit_1 \qquad \frac{T[\mathbb{I} \circ S] \to A}{T[S] \to A} Unit_2$$

$$\frac{T[S] \to A}{T[S \circ \mathbb{I}] \to A} Unit_3 \qquad \frac{T[S] \to A}{T[\mathbb{I} \circ S] \to A} Unit_4$$

$\textbf{NL}_{\textbf{Assc}}$

NL_{Assc}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{NL}_{\textbf{Assc}} & \triangleq & \textbf{NL} + \textbf{Associativity} \\ \frac{T[(S \circ (K \circ L))] \rightarrow A}{T[((S \circ K) \circ L)] \rightarrow A} \ \textit{Assc}_1 & \frac{T[(S \circ K) \circ L)] \rightarrow A}{T[(S \circ (K \circ L))] \rightarrow A} \ \textit{Assc}_2 \end{array}$$

The equational class of monoids

The equational class of monoids

$$(x+y)+z \approx x+(y+z)$$

 $x+(y+z) \approx (x+y)+z$
 $x+0 \approx x$
 $\approx 0+x$

The set of Lambek configurations O_L is the free monoid generated by the set of types \mathcal{F}_L .

Faithful embedding between NL_{Assc} and L

Faithful embedding between NL_{Assc} and L

We consider the following embedding translation from NL_{Assc} to L:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\cdot)^{\sharp}: \textbf{NL}_{\textbf{Assc}} = (\mathcal{F}, \textbf{StructTerm}, \rightarrow) & \longrightarrow & \textbf{L} = (\mathcal{F}, O_{L}, \Rightarrow) \\ T \rightarrow A & \mapsto & (T)^{\sharp} \Rightarrow (A)^{\sharp} \end{array}$$

 $(\cdot)^{\sharp}$ is such that:

$$A^{\sharp} = A$$
 if A is a type $(T_1 \circ T_2)^{\sharp} = T_1^{\sharp}, T_2^{\sharp}$ $\mathbb{I}^{\sharp} = \Lambda$

 $(\cdot)^{\sharp}$ satisfies:

$$(T[S])^{\sharp} = T^{\sharp}(S^{\sharp})$$

On $(\cdot)^{\sharp}$

On $(\cdot)^{\sharp}$

 $(\cdot)^{\sharp}$ is faithful, i.e.:

- ▶ If $T \to A$ then $T^{\sharp} \Rightarrow A$.
- ▶ Conversely, for any T_{Δ} such that $(T_{\Delta})^{\sharp} = \Delta$ and $\Delta \Rightarrow A$, then $T_{\Delta} \rightarrow A$.
- (·)[#] absorbs the structural rules. If T ∈ StructTerm and T↔*S, then:

$$T^{\sharp}=S^{\sharp}$$

Where \leftrightarrow^* is the reflexive, symmetric and transitive closure of \leftrightarrow , where \leftrightarrow is the result applying a single structural rule to a (structural) term.

Summary of the metaphor

Summary of the metaphor

Slogan:

- L is free of structural rules.
- In fact, L absorbs the structural rules of NL_{Assc}, which correspond to the equations defining the class of monoids.
- ▶ The set of \mathcal{F}_L is the free monoid generated by the set of Lambek types.

From the metaphor NL_{Assc}/L to ?/hD

From the metaphor NL_{Assc}/L to ?/hD

- hD is free of structural rules.
- Does hD absorb the structural rules of a (ω-sorted) multimodal calculus?
- YES!
- ▶ This absorbed structural rules correspond to sorted equations of a certain ω -sorted equational class.

Continuous associativity

$$X + (y + z) \approx (X + y) + z$$

Discontinuous associativity

$$x \times_i (y \times_j z) \approx (x \times_i y) \times_{i+j-1} z$$

 $(x \times_i y) \times_j z \approx x \times_i (y \times_{j-i+1} z)$ if $i \le j \le 1 + s(y) - 1$

Mixed permutation

$$(x \times_i y) \times_j z \approx (x \times_{j-S(y)+1} z) \times_i y \text{ if } j > i + s(y) - 1$$

 $(x \times_i z) \times_j y \approx (x \times_j y) \times_{i+S(y)-1} z \text{ if } j < i$

Mixed associativity

$$(x + y) \times_i z \approx (x \times_i z) + y \text{ if } 1 \le i \le s(x)$$

 $(x + y) \times_i z \approx x + (y \times_{i-s(x)} z) \text{ if } x + 1 \le i \le s(x) + s(y)$

Continuous unit and discontinuous unit

$$0 + x \approx x \approx x + 0$$
 and $1 \times_1 x \approx x \approx x \times_i 1$



- ► The class of standard displacement algebras (DAs) is properly contained in DA.
- ► The set of hyperconfigurations O_D is the free DA algebra with the set of ω -sorted generators \mathcal{F}_D . I.e.:
 - (2) **Theorem** (Freeness of O_D)

$$FDA(\mathcal{F}_D) = O_D$$

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{StructTerm} & ::= & \mathcal{F} | \mathbb{I} | (\textbf{StructTerm} \circ \textbf{StructTerm}) | \\ & ::= & \mathbb{I} | (\textbf{StructTerm} \circ_i \textbf{StructTerm}) \end{array}
```

StructTerm is ω -sorted, i.e. **StructTerm** = $\bigcup_{i \in \omega}$ **StructTerm**_i.

The ω -sorted multimodal displacement calculus **mD**

The ω -sorted multimodal displacement calculus **mD**The logical rules:

 $A \rightarrow A \text{ Id}$

 $S \rightarrow A$ $T[A] \rightarrow B$

$$T[S] \to B$$

$$T[I] \to A$$

$$T[I] \to A$$

$$T[I] \to A$$

$$T[J] \to A$$

$$I \to I$$

$$I$$

More logical rules:

$$\frac{X \to A \qquad Y[B] \to C}{Y[X \circ_i A \downarrow_i B] \to C} \downarrow_i L \qquad \frac{A \circ_i X \to B}{X \to A \downarrow_i B} \downarrow_i R$$

$$\frac{X[A \circ B] \to C}{X[A \bullet B] \to C} \bullet L \qquad \frac{X \to A \qquad Y \to B}{X \circ Y \to A \bullet B} \bullet R$$

$$\frac{X[A \circ_i B] \to C}{X[A \circ_i B] \to C} \odot_i L \qquad \frac{X \to A \qquad Y \to B}{X \circ_i Y \to A \odot_i B} \odot_i R$$

Some useful stuff on terms:

(4) **Definition** (Wrapping and Permutable Terms)

Given the term $(T_1 \circ_i T_2) \circ_j T_3$, we say that:

- (P1) $T_2 \prec_{T_1} T_3$ iff $i + t_2 1 < j$.
- (P2) $T_3 \prec_{T_1} T_2 \text{ iff } j < i$.

The structural rules:

Continuous unit:

$$\frac{T[X] \to A}{T[\mathbb{I} \circ X] \to A} \qquad \frac{T[\mathbb{I} \circ X] \to A}{T[X] \to A} \qquad \frac{T[X] \to A}{T[X \circ \mathbb{I}] \to A} \qquad \frac{T[X \circ \mathbb{I}] \to A}{T[X] \to A}$$

Discontinuous unit:

$$\frac{T[X] \to A}{T[\mathbb{J} \circ_1 X] \to A} \qquad \frac{T[\mathbb{J} \circ_1 X] \to A}{T[X] \to A} \qquad \frac{T[X] \to A}{T[X \circ_i \mathbb{J}] \to A} \qquad \frac{T[X \circ_i \mathbb{J}] \to A}{T[X] \to A}$$

More structural rules:

Continuous associativity

$$\frac{S[(T_1\circ_i(T_2\circ_jT_3)]\to C}{S[(T_1\circ_iT_2)\circ_{i+j-1}T_3)]\to C} \underset{Assc_d1}{\operatorname{Assc_d1}} \qquad \frac{S[(T_1\circ_iT_2)\circ_jT_3]\to C}{S[T_1\circ_i(T_2\circ_{j-i+1}T_3)]\to C} \underset{Assc_d2}{\operatorname{Assc_d2}}$$

Mixed permutation 1 case $T_2 \prec_{T_1} T_3$

$$\frac{S[(T_1\circ_iT_2)\circ_jT_3]\to C}{S[(T_1\circ_iT_2)\circ_jT_2]\to C} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c}S[(T_1\circ_iT_3)\circ_jT_2]\to C\\\\\hline\\S[(T_1\circ_j-S(T_2)+1T_3)\circ_iT_2]\to C\end{array}} \text{MixPerm1}$$

More structural rules:

Mixed permutation 2 case $T_3 \prec_{T_1} T_2$

$$\frac{S[(T_1 \circ_i T_2) \circ_j T_3] \to C}{S[(T_1 \circ_i T_3) \circ_{i+S(T_3)-1} T_2] \to C} \mathbf{MixPerm2}$$

Mixed associativity I

$$\frac{R[(T \circ S) \circ_i K] \to A}{R[(T \circ_i K) \circ S] \to A}$$

Mixed associativity II

$$\frac{R[(T \circ S) \circ_{i} K] \to A}{R[(T \circ (S \circ_{i-s(T)} K] \to A]}$$

$$\frac{S[(T_1 \circ_i T_3) \circ_j T_2] \to C}{S[(T_1 \circ_{j-S(T_3)+1} T_2) \circ_i T_3] \to C}$$
 MixPerm2

mD vs hD

mD vs hD

Let us define the following map between sequent calculi: We consider the following embedding translation from **mD** to **hD**: We consider the following embedding translation from **mD** to **hD**:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\cdot)^{\sharp}: \mathbf{mD} = (\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{StructTerm}, \rightarrow) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{hD} = (\mathcal{F}, O, \Rightarrow) \\ \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} & \mapsto & (\mathcal{T})^{\sharp} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A})^{\sharp} \end{array}$$

 $(\cdot)^{\sharp}$ is such that:

$$A^{\sharp} = \overrightarrow{A}$$
 if A is of sort strictly greater than 0 $A^{\sharp} = A$ if A is of sort 0 $(T_1 \circ T_2)^{\sharp} = T_1^{\sharp}, T_2^{\sharp}$ $(T_1 \circ_i T_2)^{\sharp} = T_1^{\sharp}|_i T_2^{\sharp}$ $\mathbb{I}^{\sharp} = \Lambda$ $\mathbb{I}^{\sharp} = 1$

Mutually recursive definition of hyperconfigurations

Mutually recursive definition of hyperconfigurations

```
O ::= \Lambda
O ::= A, O \text{ for } s(A) = 0
O ::= 1, O
O ::= A\{O : \dots : O\}, O
A \text{ times}
```

On the morphism $(\cdot)^{\sharp}$

(5) **Lemma** $((\cdot)^{\sharp}$ is an Epimorphism)

For every $\Delta \in O$ there exists a structural term¹ T_{Δ} such that:

$$(T_{\Delta})^{\sharp} = \Delta$$

Proof. This can be proved by induction on the structure of hyperconfigurations. We define recursively T_{Δ} such that $(T_{\Delta})^{\sharp} = \Delta$:

- Case $\Delta = \Lambda$ (the empty tree): $T_{\Delta} = \mathbb{I}$.
- ► Case where $\Delta = A, \Gamma$: $T_{\Delta} = A \circ T_{\Gamma}$, where by induction hypothesis (i.h.) $(T_{\Gamma})^{\sharp} = \Gamma$.
- ▶ Case where $\Delta = 1, \Gamma$: $T_{\Delta} = \mathbb{J} \circ T_{\Gamma}$, where by i.h. $(T_{\Gamma})^{\sharp} = \Gamma$.
- ▶ Case $\Delta = \overrightarrow{A} \otimes \langle \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_a \rangle$, Δ_{a+1} . By i.h. we have:

$$(T_{\Delta_i})^{\sharp} = \Delta_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a+1$$

$$T_{\Delta} = (A \circ_1 T_{\Delta_1}) \circ T_{\Delta_2} \text{ if } a = 1$$

$$T_{\Delta} = ((\cdots ((A \circ_1 T_{\Delta_1}) \circ_{1+d_1} T_{\Delta_2}) \cdots) \circ_{1+d_1+\cdots+d_{a-1}} T_{\Delta_a}) \circ T_{\Delta_{a+1}} \text{ if } a > 1$$

¹ In fact there exists an infinite set of such structural terms.

mD vs hD

(6) **Theorem** (Faithfulness of (⋅)[#] Embedding Translation)

Let A, X and Δ be respectively a type, a structural term and a hyperconfiguration. The following statements hold:

- i) If $\vdash_{mD} X \to A$ then $\vdash_{hD} (X)^{\sharp} \Rightarrow A$
- ii) For any X such that $(X)^{\sharp} = \Delta$, if $\vdash_{hD} \Delta \Rightarrow A$ then $\vdash_{mD} X \rightarrow A$

hD absorbs the structural rules

hD absorbs the structural rules

Again, as before with **NL**_{Assc}/**L**, the embedding translation mapping satisfies:

$$(R[T])^{\sharp} = R^{\sharp} \langle T^{\sharp} \rangle$$

Since O_D is the free algebra of DAs over \mathcal{F}_D , $(\cdot)^{\sharp}$ absorbs the structural rules of **mD**.