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What do we expect from an algorithm?

• Correct
• Easy to understand
• Easy to implement
• Efficient:
  – Every algorithm requires a set of resources
    • Memory
    • CPU time
    • Energy
// Pre: n ≥ 0
// Returns the Fibonacci number of order n.

int fib(int n) { // Recursive solution
    if (n <= 1) return n;
    return fib(n - 1) + fib(n - 2);
}
How many recursive calls?
Fibonacci: runtime

\[ T(0) = 1 \]
\[ T(1) = 1 \]
\[ T(n) = T(n - 1) + T(n - 2) \]

Let us assume that \( T(n) = a^n \) for some constant \( a \). Then,

\[ a^n = a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^2 = a + 1 \]

\[ a = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \varphi \approx 1.618 \quad (\text{golden ratio}) \]

Therefore, \( T(n) \approx 1.6^n \).

If \( T(0) = 1 \) ns, then \( T(100) \approx 2.6 \cdot 10^{20} \) ns > 8000 yrs.

With the age of Universe \((14 \cdot 10^9 \) yrs),
we could compute up to \( \text{fib}(128) \).
Fibonacci numbers: iterative version

// Pre: \( n \geq 0 \)
// Returns the Fibonacci number of order \( n \).
int fib(int n) { // iterative solution
    int f_i = 0;
    int f_i1 = 1;
    // Inv: \( f_i \) is the Fibonacci number of order \( i \).
    // \( f_{i+1} \) is the Fibonacci number of order \( i+1 \).
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
        int f = f_i + f_i1;
        f_i = f_i1;
        f_i1 = f;
    }
    return f_i;
}
Fibonacci numbers

Algebraic solution: find matrix $A$ such that

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{n+2} \\
F_{n+1}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
? & ? \\
? & ?
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{n+1} \\
F_n
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{n+2} \\
F_{n+1}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{n+1} \\
F_n
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{n+1} \\
F_n
\end{bmatrix}
= A^n \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
$$
Fibonacci numbers

\[ A^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ A^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad A^8 = \begin{bmatrix} 34 & 21 \\ 21 & 13 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ A^{16} = \begin{bmatrix} 1597 & 987 \\ 987 & 610 \end{bmatrix} \quad \ldots \quad A^n = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \]

Runtime \( \approx \log_2 n \) 2x2 matrix multiplications
Algorithm analysis

Given an algorithm that reads inputs from a domain $D$, we want to define a cost function $C$:

$$C : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$x \mapsto C(x)$$

where $C(x)$ represents the cost of using some resource (CPU time, memory, energy, ...).

Analyzing $C(x)$ for every possible $x$ is impractical.
Algorithm analysis: simplifications

• Analysis based on the size of the input: $|x| = n$

• Only the best/average/worst cases are analyzed:

\[
C_{\text{worst}}(n) = \max\{C(x) : x \in D, |x| = n\}
\]
\[
C_{\text{best}}(n) = \min\{C(x) : x \in D, |x| = n\}
\]
\[
C_{\text{avg}}(n) = \sum_{x \in D, |x| = n} p(x) \cdot C(x)
\]

$p(x)$: probability of selecting input $x$ among all the inputs of size $n$. 
Algorithm analysis

• Properties:

\[ \forall n \geq 0 : \quad C_{\text{best}}(n) \leq C_{\text{avg}}(n) \leq C_{\text{worst}}(n) \]

\[ \forall x \in D : \quad C_{\text{best}}(|x|) \leq C(x) \leq C_{\text{worst}}(|x|) \]

• We want a notation that characterizes the cost of algorithms independently from the technology (CPU speed, programming language, efficiency of the compiler, etc.).

• Runtime is usually the most important resource to analyze.
Asymptotic notation

Let us consider all functions $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$

Definitions:

$O(f(n)) = \{g(n) : \exists k > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0 : g(n) \leq k \cdot f(n)\}$

$\Omega(f(n)) = \{g(n) : \exists k > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0 : g(n) \geq k \cdot f(n)\}$

$\Theta(f(n)) = O(f(n)) \cap \Omega(f(n))$
Asymptotic notation

\[ g(n) \in O(f_1(n)) \]
\[ g(n) \in \Omega(f_2(n)) \]
Asymptotic notation

\[ k_1 f(n) \]

\[ k_2 f(n) \]

\[ g(n) \]

\[ g(n) \in \Theta(f(n)) \]
Examples for Big-O and Big-Ω

\[ 13n^3 - 4n + 8 \in O(n^3) \]
\[ 2n - 5 \in O(n) \]
\[ n^2 \notin O(n) \]
\[ 2^n \in O(n!) \]
\[ 3^n \notin O(2^n) \]
\[ 3 \log_2 n \in O(\log n) \]
\[ 3n \log_2 n \in O(n^2) \]
\[ O(n^2) \subseteq O(n^3) \]

\[ 13n^3 - 4n + 8 \in \Omega(n^3) \]
\[ n^2 \in \Omega(n) \]
\[ n^2 \notin \Omega(n^3) \]
\[ n! \in \Omega(2^n) \]
\[ 3^n \in \Omega(2^n) \]
\[ 3 \log_2 n \in \Omega(\log n) \]
\[ n \log_2 n \in \Omega(n) \]
\[ O(n^3) \subseteq \Omega(n^2) \]
## Complexity ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Common name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n!$</td>
<td>factorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^n$</td>
<td>exponential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n^d, d &gt; 3$</td>
<td>polynomial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n^3$</td>
<td>cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n^2$</td>
<td>quadratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n \sqrt{n}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n \log n$</td>
<td>quasi-linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sqrt{n}$</td>
<td>root - $n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\log n$</td>
<td>logarithmic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>constant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The limit rule

Let us assume that \( L \) exists (may be \( \infty \)) such that:

\[
L = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}
\]

\[
\begin{cases}
  \text{if } L = 0 & \text{then } f \in O(g) \\
  \text{if } 0 < L < \infty & \text{then } f \in \Theta(g) \\
  \text{if } L = \infty & \text{then } f \in \Omega(g)
\end{cases}
\]

**Note:** If both limits are \( \infty \) or \( 0 \), use L’Hôpital rule:

\[
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}
\]
Properties

All rules (except the last one) also apply for $\Omega$ and $\Theta$:

- $f \in O(f)$
- $\forall c > 0, O(f) = O(c \cdot f)$
- $f \in O(g) \land g \in O(h) \Rightarrow f \in O(h)$
- $f_1 \in O(g_1) \land f_2 \in O(g_2)$
  $\Rightarrow f_1 + f_2 \in O(g_1 + g_2) = O(\max \{g_1, g_2\})$
- $f \in O(g) \Rightarrow f + g \in O(g)$
- $f_1 \in O(g_1) \land f_2 \in O(g_2) \Rightarrow f_1 \cdot f_2 \in O(g_1 \cdot g_2)$
- $f \in O(g) \iff g \in \Omega(f)$
Asymptotic complexity (small values)

- $n^3$
- $n^2$
- $2^n$
- $n$
- $n \log n$
- $\sqrt{n}$
- $\log n$
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Asymptotic complexity (larger values)

- $2^n$
- $n^3$
- $n^2$
- $n \log n$
- $n$
Let us consider that every operation can be executed in 1 ns ($10^{-9}$ s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>$n = 1,000$</th>
<th>$n = 10,000$</th>
<th>$n = 100,000$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\log_2 n$</td>
<td>10 ns</td>
<td>13.3 ns</td>
<td>16.6 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sqrt{n}$</td>
<td>31.6 ns</td>
<td>100 ns</td>
<td>316 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>1 $\mu$s</td>
<td>10 $\mu$s</td>
<td>100 $\mu$s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n \log_2 n$</td>
<td>10 $\mu$s</td>
<td>133 $\mu$s</td>
<td>1.7 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n^2$</td>
<td>1 ms</td>
<td>100 ms</td>
<td>10 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n^3$</td>
<td>1 s</td>
<td>16.7 min</td>
<td>11.6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n^4$</td>
<td>16.7 min</td>
<td>116 days</td>
<td>3171 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^n$</td>
<td>$3.4 \cdot 10^{284}$ yr</td>
<td>$6.3 \cdot 10^{2993}$ yr</td>
<td>$3.2 \cdot 10^{30086}$ yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How about “big data”?

Source: Jon Kleinberg and Éva Tardos, Algorithm Design, Addison Wesley 2006.

Table 2.1 The running times (rounded up) of different algorithms on inputs of increasing size, for a processor performing a million high-level instructions per second. In cases where the running time exceeds $10^{25}$ years, we simply record the algorithm as taking a very long time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$n \log_2 n$</th>
<th>$n^2$</th>
<th>$n^3$</th>
<th>$1.5^n$</th>
<th>$2^n$</th>
<th>$n!$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>4 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>18 min</td>
<td>$10^{25}$ years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>11 min</td>
<td>36 years</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>12,892 years</td>
<td>$10^{17}$ years</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>18 min</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>2 sec</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>32 years</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>20 sec</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>31,710 years</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is often the practical limit for big data
A robot stands in front of a wall that is infinitely long to the right and left side. The wall has a door somewhere and the robot has to find it to reach the other side. Unfortunately, the robot can only see the part of the wall in front of it.

The robot does not know neither how far away the door is nor what direction to take to find it. It can only execute moves to the left or right by a certain number of steps.

Let us assume that the door is at a distance $d$. How to find the door in a minimum number of steps?
The robot and the door in an infinite wall

Algorithm 1:

• Pick one direction and move until the door is found.

Complexity:

• If the direction is correct → $O(d)$.
• If incorrect → the algorithm does not terminate.
Algorithm 2:

• 1 step to the left, 2 steps to the right, 3 steps to the left, ...
• ... increasing by one step in the opposite direction.

Complexity:

\[ T(d) = d + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} i = d + 2 \frac{d(d - 1)}{2} = O(d^2) \]
Algorithm 3:

• 1 step to the left, 2 steps to the right, 4 steps to the left, 8 steps to the right, 16 steps to the left, ...
• ... doubling the number of steps in the opposite direction.

Complexity (assume that \( d = 2^n \)):

\[
T(d) = d + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^i = d + 2(2^n - 1) = 3d - 2 = O(d)
\]
Runtime analysis rules

• Variable declarations cost no time.

• *Elementary operations* are those that can be executed with a *small number of basic computer steps* (an assignment, a multiplication, a comparison between two numbers, etc.).

• Vector sorting or matrix multiplication are not elementary operations.

• We consider that the cost of elementary operations is $O(1)$. 
Runtime analysis rules

• Consecutive statements:
  – If $S_1$ is $O(f)$ and $S_2$ is $O(g)$, then $S_1;S_2$ is $O(\max\{f, g\})$

• Conditional statements:
  – If $S_1$ is $O(f)$, $S_2$ is $O(g)$ and $B$ is $O(h)$, then if (B) $S_1$; else $S_2$; is $O(\max\{f + h, g + h\})$, or also $O(\max\{f, g, h\})$. 
Runtime analysis rules

• For/While loops:
  – Running time is at most the running time of the statements inside the loop times the number of iterations

• Nested loops:
  – Analyze inside out: running time of the statements inside the loops multiplied by the product of the sizes of the loops
Nested loops: examples

for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < n; ++j)
        DoSomething(); // O(1)

⇒ O\left(n^2\right)

for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    for (int j = i; j < n; ++j)
        DoSomething(); // O(1)

⇒ O\left(n^2\right)

for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < m; ++j)
        for (int k = 0; k < p; ++k)
            DoSomething(); // O(1)

⇒ O(n \cdot m \cdot p)
Linear time: $O(n)$

Running time proportional to input size

// Compute the maximum of a vector
// with n numbers

```c++
int m = a[0];
for (int i = 1; i < a.size(); ++i) {
    if (a[i] > m) m = a[i];
}
```
Linear time: $O(n)$

Other examples:

- Reversing a vector

- Merging two sorted vectors

- Finding the largest null segment of a sorted vector: a linear-time algorithm exists
  (a null segment is a compact sub-vector in which the sum of all the elements is zero)
Logarithmic time: $O(\log n)$

- Logarithmic time is usually related to divide-and-conquer algorithms

- Examples:
  - Binary search
  - Calculating $x^n$
  - Calculating the $n$-th Fibonacci number
Example: recursive $x^y$

// Pre: $x \neq 0, y \geq 0$
// Returns $x^y$

```c
int power(int x, int y) {
    if (y == 0) return 1;
    if (y%2 == 0) return power(x*x, y/2);
    return x*power(x*x, y/2);
}
```

// Assumption: each */% takes $O(1)$

\[
T(x^y) \leq 4 + T((x^2)^{y/2}) \leq 4 + 4 + T((x^4)^{y/4}) \leq \cdots
\]

\[
T(x^y) \leq 4 + 4 + \cdots + 4 \quad \underbrace{\text{log}_2 y \text{ times}}_{\log_2 y \text{ times}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad O(\log y)
\]
Linearithmic time: $O(n \log n)$

- **Sorting**: Merge sort and heap sort can be executed in $O(n \log n)$.

- **Largest empty interval**: Given $n$ time-stamps $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ on which copies of a file arrive at a server, what is largest interval when no copies of file arrive?
  - $O(n \log n)$ solution. Sort the time-stamps. Scan the sorted list in order, identifying the maximum gap between successive time-stamps.
• Selection sort uses this invariant:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{i-1} & \text{i} \\
\text{-7} & \text{-3} & \text{0} & \text{1} & \text{4} & \text{9} & \text{?} & \text{?} & \text{?} & \text{?} & \text{?} & \text{?} & \text{?} & \text{?} \\
\end{array}
\]

- this is sorted and contains the i-1 smallest elements
- this may not be sorted... but all elements here are larger than or equal to the elements in the sorted part
Selection Sort

```cpp
void selection_sort(vector<elem>& v) {
    int last = v.size() - 1; // v.size() = n
    for (int i = 0; i < last; ++i) { // 0..n-2
        int k = i;
        for (int j = i + 1; j <= last; ++j) { // i+1..n-1
            if (v[j] < v[k]) k = j;
        }
        swap(v[k], v[i]);
    }
}
```

\[
T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} O(1) = O(1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} 1 = O(1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (n - i - 1)
\]

\[
= O(1) \left( (n - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (n - 1) \cdot (n - 2) \right) = O(1) \left( \frac{1}{2} n \cdot (n - 1) \right)
\]

\[
= O(1) \cdot O(n^2) = O(n^2)
\]
Insertion Sort

• Let us use inductive reasoning:
  – If we know how to sort arrays of size n-1,
  – do we know how to sort arrays of size n?
Insertion Sort

```cpp
void insertion_sort(vector<elem>& v) {
    for (int i = 1; i < v.size(); ++i) {  // n-1 times
        elem x = v[i];
        int j = i;
        while (j > 0 and v[j - 1] > x) {  // 0..i times
            v[j] = v[j - 1];
            --j;
        }
        v[j] = x;
    }
}
```

\[
T(n) = \Omega(n)
\]

\[
T(n) = O(n^2)
\]

\[
T_{\text{worst}}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot O(1) = O(n^2)
\]

⇒ sorted in reverse order

\[
T_{\text{best}}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} O(1) = O(n)
\]

⇒ already sorted
The Maximum Subsequence Sum Problem

• Given (possibly negative) integers $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$, find the maximum value of $\sum_{k=i}^{j} A_k$.
  (the max subsequence sum is 0 if all integers are negative).

• Example:
  – Input: -2, 11, -4, 13, -5, -2
  – Answer: 20 (subsequence 11, -4, 13)

(extracted from M.A. Weiss, Data Structures and Algorithms in C++, Pearson, 2014, 4\textsuperscript{th} edition)
The Maximum Subsequence Sum Problem

```cpp
int maxSubSum(const vector<int>& a) {
    int maxSum = 0;
    // try all possible subsequences
    for (int i = 0; i < a.size(); ++i)
        for (int j = i; j < a.size(); ++j) {
            int thisSum = 0;
            for (int k = i; k <= j; ++k)
                thisSum += a[k];
            if (thisSum > maxSum) maxSum = thisSum;
        }
    return maxSum;
}
```

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \sum_{k=i}^{j} 1$$
The Maximum Subsequence Sum Problem

\[ T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \sum_{k=i}^{j} 1 \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} (j - i + 1) \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n - i + 1)(n - i)}{2} = \ldots \]

\[ = \frac{n^3 + 3n^2 + 2n}{6} = O(n^3) \]
The Maximum Subsequence Sum Problem

```cpp
int maxSubSum(const vector<int>& a) {
    int maxSum = 0;
    // try all possible subsequences
    for (int i = 0; i < a.size(); ++i) {
        int thisSum = 0;
        for (int j = i; j < a.size(); ++j) {
            thisSum += a[j]; // reuse computation
            if (thisSum > maxSum) maxSum = thisSum;
        }
    }
    return maxSum;
}
```

\[
T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} 1 = O(n^2)
\]
Max Subsequence Sum: Divide & Conquer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First half</th>
<th>Second half</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The max sum can be in one of three places:

- 1\textsuperscript{st} half
- 2\textsuperscript{nd} half
- Spanning both halves and crossing the middle

In the 3\textsuperscript{rd} case, two max subsequences must be found starting from the center of the vector (one to the left and the other to the right)
Max Subsequence Sum: Divide & Conquer

```cpp
int maxSumRec(const vector<int>& a, int left, int right) {
    // base cases
    if (left == right)
        if (a[left] > 0) return a[left];
        else return 0;
    // Recursive cases: left and right halves
    int center = (left + right)/2;
    int maxLeft = maxSumRec(a, left, center);
    int maxRight = maxSumRec(a, center + 1, right);
    :
    :
}```
Max Subsequence Sum: Divide&Conquer

```c
int maxRCenter = 0, rightSum = 0;
for (int i = center; i >= left; --i) {
  rightSum += a[i];
  if (rightSum > maxRCenter) maxRCenter = rightSum;
}

int maxLCenter = 0, leftSum = 0;
for (int i = center + 1; i <= right; ++i) {
  leftSum += a[i];
  if (leftSum > maxLCenter) maxLCenter = leftSum;
}

int maxCenter = maxRCenter + maxLCenter;
return max3(maxLeft, maxRight, maxCenter);
```
Max Subsequence Sum: Divide & Conquer

\[
T(1) = 1 \\
T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)
\]

We will see how to solve this equation formally in the next lesson (Master Theorem). Informally:

\[
T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n = 2(2(T(n/4) + n/2)) + n \\
= 4T(n/4) + n + n = 8T(n/8) + n + n + n = \cdots \\
= 2^kT(n/2^k) + n + n + \cdots + n
\]

when \( n = 2^k \) we have that \( k = \log_2 n \)

\[
T(n) = 2^kT(1) + kn = n + n \log_2 n = O(n \log n)
\]

But, can we still do it faster?
The Maximum Subsequence Sum Problem

• Observations:
  – If \( a[i] \) is negative, it cannot be the start of the optimal subsequence
  – Any negative subsequence cannot be the prefix of the optimal subsequence

• Let us consider the inner loop of the \( O(n^2) \) algorithm and assume that \( a[i..j-1] \) is positive and \( a[i..j] \) is negative:

  – If \( p \) is an index between \( i+1 \) and \( j \), then any subsequence from \( a[p] \) is not larger than any subsequence from \( a[i] \) and including \( a[p-1] \)
  – If \( a[j] \) makes the current subsequence negative, we can advance \( i \) to \( j+1 \)
The Maximum Subsequence Sum Problem

```cpp
int maxSubSum(const vector<int>& a) {
    int maxSum = 0, thisSum = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < a.size(); ++i) {
        int thisSum += a[i];
        if (thisSum > maxSum) maxSum = thisSum;
        else if (thisSum < 0) thisSum = 0;
    }
    return maxSum;
}
```

Algorithm Analysis

\[ T(n) = O(n) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a:</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>thisSum:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maxSum:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Given a set of $n$ points in the plane, connect them in a simple closed path.
Compute the convex hull of $n$ given points in the plane.
Simple polygon

- **Input**: $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ (points in the plane).
- **Output**: $P$ (a polygon whose vertices are $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ in some order).

- Select a point $z$ with the largest $x$ coordinate (and smallest $y$ in case of a tie in the $x$ coordinate). Assume $z = p_1$.
- For each $p_i \in \{p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$, calculate the angle $\alpha_i$ between the lines $z - p_i$ and the $x$ axis.
- Sort the points $\{p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$ according to their angles. In case of a tie, use distance to $z$. 
Simple polygon

Implementation details:

• There is no need to calculate angles (requires arctan). It is enough to calculate slopes ($\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$).

• There is not need to calculate distances. It is enough to calculate the square of distances (no sqrt required).

**Complexity:** $O(n \log n)$. The runtime is dominated by the sorting algorithm.
Convex hull: gift wrapping algorithm

- **Input:** \(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\) (points in the plane).
- **Output:** \(P\) (the convex hull of \(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\)).

- Initial point: \(z\) with the largest \(x\) coordinate (and smallest \(y\) in case of a tie in the \(x\) coordinate).

- Iteration: Assume that a partial path with \(k\) points has been built (\(p_k\) is the last point). For each remaining point \(q\) calculate the angle of \(p_k - q\) with the \(x\) axis and pick the smallest one (in counter-clockwise fashion).

- Stop when \(P\) is complete (back to point \(z\)).

Complexity: At each iteration \(k\), we calculate \(n - k\) angles. In the worst case, all points may belong to the convex hull, thus \(T(n) = O(n^2)\).
Convex hull: Graham Scan

**Intuition:** every three consecutive vertices in the convex hull must be in a *counter-clockwise* turn.

```
bool ccw(p1, p2, p3) {
    return
    (p2.x - p1.x) * (p3.y - p1.y) >
    (p2.y - p1.y) * (p3.x - p1.x);
}
```

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graham_scan
Convex hull: Graham scan

Input: \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \) (points in the plane).
Output: \( q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m \) (the convex hull).

Initially:
Create a simple polygon \( P \) (complexity \( O(n \log n) \)).
Assume the order of the points is \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \).

// \( Q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots) \) is a vector where the points // of the convex hull will be stored.
\[
q_1 = p_1; \quad q_2 = p_2; \quad q_3 = p_3; \quad m = 3;
\]
for \( k = 4 \) to \( n \):
  while not \( \text{ccw}(q_{m-1}, q_m, p_k) \):
    \( m = m - 1 \);
    \( m = m + 1 \);
  \( q_m = p_k \);

Observation: each point \( p_k \) can be included in \( Q \) and deleted at most once.
The main loop of Graham scan has linear cost.
Complexity: dominated by the creation of the simple polygon \( \Rightarrow O(n \log n) \).