Cristina España-Bonet DFKI GmbH

11th Advanced Summer School on NLP IIIT Hyderabad 23rd June 2022

Today we'll talk about

- Neural Machine Translation (Tomorrow!)
 Multilingual Neural Machine Translation
- 2 Basics of ML-NMTBehaviour
- 3 Self-Supervised NMT
- 4 Evaluating (Large Scale) ML-NMT
 - WMT 2021 Shared Tasks
 - DeltaLM

Today we'll talk about

Before Starting... what do we Know?

My background is on

Machine Translation	0
---------------------	---

- Deep Learning 0
- Natural Language Processing
 - Computer Science 0
 - Linguistics 0

• 0

None of the above 0

Basic NMT Model

The Encoder–Decoder Model (with attention)

encodes a sequence of word vectors into a fixed-sized context vector
 decodes the fixed-sized vector back into a variable-length sequence

Several NLP tasks use nowadays enc-dec architectures:

- Machine translation, but also...
- text summarisation, question answering, chatbots, speech recognition...

Basic NMT Model

The Encoder–Decoder Model (with attention)

- 1 encodes a sequence of word vectors into a fixed-sized context vector
- 2 decodes the fixed-sized vector back into a variable-length sequence

Several NLP tasks use nowadays enc-dec architectures:

- Machine translation, but also...
- text summarisation, question answering, chatbots, speech recognition...

Neural Machine Translation

Basic NMT Model



Neural Machine Translation

A Transformer to Rule them All!



(Vaswani et al., 2017)

Why?

■ There are >7000 languages in the world

- Do we want/need 7000×7000 MT systems?
- Do we want 1 MT system to translate from 7000 into 7000 languages?
- Languages share features

Why?

Language Relatedness



■ There are >7000 languages in the world

- Do we want/need 7000×7000 MT systems?
- Do we want 1 MT system to translate from 7000 into 7000 languages?

Languages share features

Why?

- Commonalities among languages can help
- Main motivation: low-resource languages, but...

■ There are >7000 languages in the world

- Do we want/need 7000×7000 MT systems?
- Do we want 1 MT system to translate from 7000 into 7000 languages?
- Languages share features

Why?

- Commonalities among languages can help
- Main motivation: low-resource languages, but...

ML-NMT can be Convenient and Simple

Easier to deploy and mantain (1 system instead of N)

- Can put together several high-resource languages (capacity!)
- Help ambiguity?
- Can put together several related languages
 - Can add low-resourced languages to benefit from high-resourced
 - Even zero-shot!
- Code-switching can be dealt almost by construction
 - Bidirectional NMT?

ML-NMT can be Convenient and Simple

Easier to deploy and mantain (1 system instead of N)

- Can put together several high-resource languages (capacity!)
- Help ambiguity?
- Can put together several related languages
 - Can add low-resourced languages to benefit from high-resourced
 - Even zero-shot!
- Code-switching can be dealt almost by construction
 - Bidirectional NMT?

Simple?

Architectures

ML-NMT can be as simple as we want

■ ML-NMT can be as **complicated** as we want :-)

Architectures

- ML-NMT can be as simple as we want
- ML-NMT can be as **complicated** as we want :-)

Multi-Way, ML-NMT with a Shared Attention Mechanism (Firat et al. 2016)



Attention-based encoder-decoder that admits a shared attention mechanism with multiple encoders and decoders

Knowledge Distillation for ML-Unsupervised NMT (Sun et al. 2020)



Single encoder and a single decoder, making use of multilingual data

Approaches

- Multiple encoders and/or decoders
- One encoder, one decoder, joint vocabulary, mixed data in all language pairs
- Any combination you can think of :-)

A Survey of Multilingual Neural Machine Translation (Dabre et al., 2020)



1 Neural Machine Translation (Tomorrow!)

2 Basics of ML-NMTBehaviour

3 Self-Supervised NMT

4 Evaluating (Large Scale) ML-NMT









Remember! Basic NMT Model

The Encoder–Decoder Model (with attention)

- 1 encodes a sequence of word vectors into a fixed-sized context vector
- 2 decodes the fixed-sized vector back into a variable-length sequence

Remember! Basic NMT Model

The Encoder–Decoder Model (with attention)

- **1** encodes a sequence of word vectors into a **fixed-sized context vector**
- 2 decodes the fixed-sized vector back into a variable-length sequence

Multilingual Semantic Space for Context Vectors (easy)

(España-Bonet & van Genabith, 2018)



ML-NMT {de, en, nl, it, ro} \rightarrow {de, en, nl, it, ro} with TED talks

(t-SNE projection)

- Sentences are clustered according to semantics (not languages)
- Ideal corpus, not a big challenge for NMT
- Let's see something more challenging (for the NMT system!)

Multilingual Semantic Space for Context Vectors (hard)

(España-Bonet et al., 2017)



ML-NMT $\{en, es, ar\} \rightarrow \{en, es, ar\}$ with heterogeneous corpora

(t-SNE projection)

- s1:t1 Spain princess testifies in historic fraud probe
- s2:t1 Princesa de España testifica en juicio histórico de fraude

- s4:t2 You do not need to worry.
- s5:t3 You don't have to worry.
- s6:t2 No necesitas preocuparte.
- s7:t3 No te tienes por que preocupar.
- لا ينبغي أن تقلق s8:t2
- لا ينبغي أن تحزع. s9:t3
- s10:t4 Mandela's condition has 'improved'
- s11:t5 Mandela's condition has 'worsened over past 48 hours'
- s12:t4 La salud de Mandela ha 'mejorado'
- s13:t5 La salud de Mandela 'ha empeorado en las últimas 48 horas'
- لقد تحسنت حالة مانديلا الصحية. \$14:t4
- ساءت الحالة الصحية لمانديلا خلال ال ٢٤ ساعة الماضية. 15:15
- s16:t6 Vector space representation results in the loss of the order which the terms are in the document.
- s17:t7 If a term occurs in the document, the value will be non-zero in the vector.
- s18:t6 La representación en el espacio de vecores implica la pérdida del órden en el que los términos ocurren en el documento.
- s19:t7 Si un término ocurre en el document, el valor en el vector será distinto de cero.
- يؤدي تمثيلُ فضاءِ المتجهِ إلى فقد الترتيب الذي تكون عليه المصطلحات في الوثيقة. s20:t6
- إذا ما ورد مصطلح في الوثيقة، فالقيمة ستكون غيرصفريَّة المتجه. \$\$\$21:t7

Multilingual Semantic Space for Context Vectors (hard)

- s1:t1Spain princess testifies in historic fraud probe
- $s2 \cdot t1$ Princesa de España testifica en juicio histórico de fraude
- أميرة أسبانيا تدلى بشهادتها في قضية احتيال تاريخي. s3:t1
- You do not need to worry. s4:t2
- You don't have to worry. s5:t3
- s6:t2No necesitas preocuparte.
- s7:t3No te tienes por que preocupar.
- ان. s8:t2تقلق أن تحدع

s9:t3

- لا يلبغي Mandela's condition has 'improved' s10:t4
- s11:t5Mandela's condition has 'worsened over past 48 hours'
- s12:t4 La salud de Mandela ha 'mejorado'
- s13.45 La salud de Mandela 'ha empeorado en las últimas 48 horas'
- لقد تحسنت حالة مانديلا الصحبة. \$14.74
- s15:t5 ساءت الحالة الصحبة لمانديلا خلال ال ور ساعة الماضية.
- s16:t6 Vector space representation results in the loss of the order which the terms are in the document.
- \$17.77 If a term occurs in the document, the value will be non-zero in the vector.
- s18:t6 La representación en el espacio de vecores implica la pérdida del órden en el que los términos ocurren en el documento.
- s19:t7Si un término ocurre en el document, el valor en el vector será distinto de cero.
- يؤدى تمثيلُ فضاءِ المتجهِ إلى فقد الترتيب الذي تكون عليه المصطلحات في الوثيقة. s20:t6
- إذا ما ورد مصطلح في الوثيقة، فالقيمة ستكون غيرصفرية المتجه. s21:t7

- s1:t1 Spain princess testifies in historic fraud probe
- s2:t1 Princesa de España testifica en juicio histórico de fraude
- أميرة أسبانيا تدلى بشهادتها في قضية احتيال تارمخي. s3:t1
- s4:t2 You do not need to worry.
- s5:t3 You don't have to worry.
- s6:t2 No necesitas preocuparte.
- s7:t3 No te tienes por que preocupar.
- لا ينبغي أن تقلق s8:t2
- لا ينبغي أن تحجزع. s9:t3
- s10:t4 Mandela's condition has 'improved'
- s11:t5 Mandela's condition has 'worsened over past 48 hours'
- s12:t4 La salud de Mandela ha 'mejorado'
- s13:t5 La salud de Mandela 'ha empeorado en las últimas 48 horas'
- لقد تحسنت حالة مانديلا الصحية. \$14:t4
- ساءت الحالة الصحية لمانديلا خلال ال _{٤٤} ساعة الماضية. 15:15
- s16:t6 Vector space representation results in the loss of the order which the terms are in the document.
- s17:t7 If a term occurs in the document, the value will be non-zero in the vector.
- s18:t6 La representación en el espacio de vecores implica la pérdida del órden en el que los términos ocurren en el documento.
- s19:t7 Si un término ocurre en el document, el valor en el vector será distinto de cero.
- يؤدي تمثيلُ فضاءِ المتجهِ إلى فقد الترتيب الذي تكون عليه المصطلحات في الوثيقة. s20:t6
- إذا ما ورد مصطلح في الوثيقة، فالقيمة ستكون غيرصفريَّة المتجه. \$\$21:t7

- s1:t1 Spain princess testifies in historic fraud probe
- s2:t1 Princesa de España testifica en juicio histórico de fraude
- أميرة أسبانيا تدلي بشهادتها في قضية احتيال تاريخي. s3:t1
- s4:t2 You do not need to worry.
- s5:t3 You don't have to worry.
- s6:t2 No necesitas preocuparte.
- s7:t3 No te tienes por que preocupar.
- لا ينبغي أن تقلق s8:t2
- لا ينبغي أن تحزع. s9:t3
- s10:t4 Mandela's condition has 'improved'
- s11:t5 Mandela's condition has 'worsened over past 48 hours'
- s12:t4 La salud de Mandela ha 'mejorado'
- s13:t5 La salud de Mandela 'ha empeorado en las últimas 48 horas'
- لقد تحسنت حالة مانديلا الصحية. \$14:t4
- ساءت الحالة الصحية لمانديلا خلال ال 15 ماعة الماضية. 15:15
- s16:t6 Vector space representation results in the loss of the order which the terms are in the document.
- s17:t7 If a term occurs in the document, the value will be non-zero in the vector.
- s18:t6 La representación en el espacio de vecores implica la pérdida del órden en el que los términos ocurren en el documento.
- s19:t7 Si un término ocurre en el document, el valor en el vector será distinto de cero.
- يؤدي تمثيلُ فضاءِ المتجهِ إلى فقد الترتيب الذي تكون عليه المصطلحات في الوثيقة. s20:t6
- إذا ما ورد مصطلح في الوثيقة، فالقيمة ستكون غيرصفرية المتجه. \$\$21:t7

s1:t1	Spain princess testifies in historic fraud probe
s2:t1	Princesa de España testifica en juicio histórico de fraude
s3:t1	أميرة أسبانيا تدلى بشهادتها في قضية احتيال تارمخي.
s4:t2	You do not need to worry.
s5:t3	You don't have to worry.
s6:t2	No necesitas preocuparte.
s7:t3	No te tienes por que preocupar.
s8:t2	لا ينبغي أن تقلق
$s_{9:t_{3}}$	لا ينبغي َّ أن تحجزع.
s10:t4	Mandela's condition has 'improved'
s11:t5	Mandela's condition has 'worsened over past 48 hours'
s12:t4	La salud de Mandela ha 'mejorado'
s13:t5	La salud de Mandela 'ha empeorado en las últimas 48 horas'
s14:t4	لقد تحسنّت حالة مانديلا الصحية.
s15:t5	ساءت الحالة الصحية لمانديلا خلال ال _{45 س} اعة الماضية.
s16:t6	Vector space representation results in the loss of the order which the terms are in the document.
s17:t7	If a term occurs in the document, the value will be non-zero in the vector.
s18:t6	La representación en el espacio de vecores implica la pérdida del órden en el que los términos ocurren en el documento.
s19:t7	Si un término ocurre en el document, el valor en el vector será distinto de cero.
s20:t6	يؤدى تمثيلُ فضاءِ المتجهِ إلى فقد الترتيب الذي تكون عليه المصطلحات في الوثيقة.
s21:t7	إذا ما ورد مصطلح في الوثيقة، فالقيمة ستكون غيرصفريَّة المتجه.

Multilingual Semantic Space for Context Vectors (hard)

(España-Bonet et al., 2017)



ML-NMT $\{en, es, ar\} \rightarrow \{en, es, ar\}$ with heterogeneous corpora
Multilingual Semantic Space for Context Vectors

- Related languages cluster better together (for distant languages there might not even exist a mapping)
- The nature of the corpus also affects the clustering (corpus in different domains per language make the learning more difficult)
- These trends are common in several NLP tasks

What happens during training?

Multilingual Semantic Space for Context Vectors

- Related languages cluster better together (for distant languages there might not even exist a mapping)
- The nature of the corpus also affects the clustering (corpus in different domains per language make the learning more difficult)
- These trends are common in several NLP tasks
- What happens during training?

Evolution of Context Vectors through Training (hard)





ML-NMT $\{en, es, ar\} \rightarrow \{en, es, ar\}$ with heterogeneous corpora

How are you doing? Need a Break?

Already a Long Way!



Where were we?

- Machine translation is at least a bilingual task
- Neural machine translation encodes semantics in vectors
- Straightforward extension of NMT to multilingual NMT (ML-NMT)
- Simple architecture for ML-NMT: shared encoder & shared decoder
- ML word (or context) vectors lie in the same space

Semantic Language-independent Clustering in ML-NMT

This is a fact. ML-NMT behaves this way.

Can we profit from it?

Question

- NMT embeddings differentiate translations from non-translations very soon
- In a standard NMT, all training sentences are (should be) translations
- Can we feed the system with any kind of sentence pair and let itself decide if it is useful or not?

■ Yes, we can!

Question

- NMT embeddings differentiate translations from non-translations very soon
- In a standard NMT, all training sentences are (should be) translations
- Can we feed the system with any kind of sentence pair and let itself decide if it is useful or not?
- Yes, we can!

Main Idea I

Transformers (comics)



Main Idea II

- Parallel data extraction as an auxiliary task to enable NMT training
- NMT training as an auxiliary task to enhance parallel sentence extraction

Self-supervision?

Just in a non-standard way, none of the tasks is completely supervised

Main Idea II

- Parallel data extraction as an auxiliary task to enable NMT training
- NMT training as an auxiliary task to enhance parallel sentence extraction

Self-supervision?

Just in a non-standard way, none of the tasks is completely supervised

Main Idea III (Ruiter et al., 2019)

- Joint selection of sentences & training NMT
- Uses internal embeddings, i.e., architecture independent
- Bidirectional training {L1, L2} \rightarrow {L1, L2} (shared encoder)
- On-line process: embeddings change through epochs, therefore selected sentences change through epochs

Training Procedure



Algorithm Description

- **1** Internal NMT **representation**: E_w (words); E_h (sentence)
- **Score** all sentence pairs in a lot (i.e. WP article)
- **3 Filter** options
- 4 Add filtered sentences into a mini-batch
- **5** Train system when mini-batch is complete

What's going on? — margP models



- The mean difference in similarity between accepted and rejected pairs increases (Δ)
- The number of extracted sentences increases with Δ
- Changes are more prominent at the beginning of the training

Open Problems

Distant Languages (no/few homographs)

Low-resourced languages

Similar issues in unsupervised NMT, bilingual embeddings, etc.

Same "solutions"?

Low Resource SS-NMT (Ruiter et al., 2021)

Additions (Unsupervised NMT-inspired?)

- Initalisation
 - Word embeddings (bilingual word2vec-like embeddings, BWE)
 - Sentence embeddings (BART-style training, Denoising Autoencoder DAE)
- Data augmentation
 - Online back-translation
 - Word by word translation (nearest neighbour in BWE)
 - Noise (token deletion, substitution and permutation)

Algorithm Description

1 System initialisation

- **2** Extract pairs as usual (scoring, filtering)
- 3 On-line back-translation of rejected pairs
 - SS-NMT filtering to remove low-quality back-translations
 - 2 Word translation for rejected back-translations

4 Add noise

Data Augmentation vs. Corpus Size



- WT and N damage high-resource setting
- Significant improvements mid-resource setting
- Small improvements in the low-resource simulated setting

(English & French Wikipedias)

But, is this Real Low Resource?

■ Artificial low-resourced setting 🖄 (lots of mono data, few comparable)

■ Real setting 🖅 (few mono data, few comparable, distant languages)

	English	Afrikaans	Nepali	Kannada	Yorúbà	Swahili	Burmese
Typology Word Order Script	fusional SVO Latin	fusional SOV,SVO Latin	fusional SOV Brahmic	agglutinative SOV Brahmic	analytic SOV,SVO Latin	agglutinative SVO Latin	analytic SOV Brahmic
sim(L–en)	1.000	0.822	0.605	0.602	0.599	0.456	0.419

Mmmm... What else?

Multilinguality



Mmmm... What else?

Multilinguality

- Multilingual comparable corpora
- Multilingual denosing autoencoder, MDAE

Fine-tuning

Bilingual comparable corpora

Automatic Evaluation (BLEU scores on Different Sets)



Data Augmentation vs. Multilinguality vs. Fine-tuning

	en—af		en—af en—kn		en–my		en–ne		en—sw		en—yo	
	\rightarrow	\leftarrow										
Baseline	48.1	48.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	4.4	3.6	0.5	0.6
Best Bilingual	51.2	52.2	0.3	0.9	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.5	7.7	6.8	2.9	3.1
MDAE	42.5	42.5	3.1	5.3	0.1	1.7	1.0	3.3	7.4	7.9	1.5	4.7
MDAE+F	46.3	50.2	5.0	9.0	0.2	2.8	2.3	5.7	11.6	11.2	2.9	5.8
Typology <i>L</i>	fusi	onal	agglutinative		ana	lytic	fusi	onal	agglut	inative	ana	lytic
Word Order L	SOV	,SVO	S	OV	SC	V	SC	VC	S١	VO	SOV	,SVO
Word Overlap	7.1	1%	1.	4%	2.1				6.5		5.7	
Tokens <i>L</i>	27.	6 M		.0 M	15.	3 M	7.5	5 M	8.7	7 M	0.5	5 M

BLEU scores on different test sets per language

Data Augmentation vs. Multilinguality vs. Fine-tuning

	en—af		en—af en—kn		en–my		en–ne		en—sw		en—yo	
	\rightarrow	\leftarrow										
Baseline	48.1	48.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	4.4	3.6	0.5	0.6
Best Bilingual	51.2	52.2	0.3	0.9	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.5	7.7	6.8	2.9	3.1
MDAE	42.5	42.5	3.1	5.3	0.1	1.7	1.0	3.3	7.4	7.9	1.5	4.7
MDAE+F	46.3	50.2	5.0	9.0	0.2	2.8	2.3	5.7	11.6	11.2	2.9	5.8
Typology <i>L</i>	fusi	onal	agglut	tinative	ana	ytic	fusi	onal	agglut	inative	ana	lytic
Word Order L	SOV	,SVO	S	OV	SC	V	SC	V	S١	/0	SOV	,SVO
Word Overlap	7.1	۱%	1.	4%	2.1	%	0.6	5%	6.5	5%	5.7	7%
Tokens <i>L</i>	27.	6 M	30	.0 M 0.	15.3	3 M	7.5	бM	8.7	′ M	0.5	бM

BLEU scores on different test sets per language

SSNMT vs. UMT (vs. NMT)

Pair Init.	Config.	Best Base	UMT	UMT+NMT	Laser	TSS	#P (k)
en2af WE af2en WE	B+BT B+BT	51.2±.9 48.1±.9 52.2±.9 47.9±.9	$27.9 {\pm}.8$ $1.4 {\pm}.1$	44.2±.9 0.7±.1	52.1±1.0 52.9±.9	35.3 —	37
en2kn MDAE kn2en MDAE	B+BT+F B+BT+F	5.0 ±.2 0.0±.0 9.0 ±.2 0.0±.0	$0.0 {\pm}.0$ $0.0 {\pm}.0$	$0.0 {\pm}.0 \\ 0.0 {\pm}.0$	- -	21.3 40.3	397 397
en2my MDAE my2en MDAE	B+BT+F B+BT+F	0.2±.0 0.0±.0 2.8±.1 0.0±.0	$0.1 {\pm}.0$ $0.0 {\pm}.0$	$0.0 {\pm}.0 \\ 0.0 {\pm}.0$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0.0 {\pm}.0 \\ 0.1 {\pm}.0 \end{array} \right $	39.3 38.6	223 223
en2ne MDAE ne2en MDAE	B+BT+F B+BT+F	2.3±.1 0.0±.0 5.7±.2 0.0±.0	$0.1 {\pm}.0$ $0.0 {\pm}.0$	$0.0 {\pm}.0$ $0.0 {\pm}.0$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0.5 {\pm}.1 \\ 0.2 {\pm}.0 \end{array} \right $	8.8 21.5	
en2sw MDAE sw2en MDAE	B+BT+F B+BT+F	11.6±.3 4.2±.2 11.2±.3 3.6±.2	$3.6 {\pm}.2 \\ 0.3 {\pm}.0$	$0.2 {\pm}.0$ $0.0 {\pm}.0$	$\begin{array}{c c} 10.0 \pm .3 \\ 8.4 \pm .3 \end{array}$	14.8 19.7	995 995
en2yo MDAE yo2en MDAE	B+BT+F B+BT+F	2.9±.1 0.3±.1 5.8±.1 0.5±.1	$1.0 {\pm}.1$ $0.6 {\pm}.0$	$0.3 {\pm}.1 \\ 0.0 {\pm}.0$	- -	12.3 22.4	501 _

BLEU on heterogeneous test sets

Multilingual NMT (beyond SS-NMT!)

Multilinguality and Low-Resource

- The term multilinguality is usually related to low-resource (LR) settings
- Even if it helps the most in LR settings, HR are currently also improved
- It might imply additional work (adapters, etc)
- In 2021, a multilingual system won WMT for the first time

Evaluating (Large Scale) ML-NMT

1 Neural Machine Translation (Tomorrow!)

2 Basics of ML-NMT

3 Self-Supervised NMT

Evaluating (Large Scale) ML-NMT
WMT 2021 Shared Tasks

Evaluating (Large Scale) ML-NMT

WMT 2021 Shared Tasks

EMNLP 2021 SIXTH CONFERENCE ON MACHINE TRANSLATION (WMT21)

November 10-11, 2021 Punta Cana (Dominican Republic) and Online

Home

[HOME] [SCHEDULE] [RESULTS]

TRANSLATION TASKS: [NEWS] [SIMILAR LANGUAGES] [BIOMEDICAL] [EÜROPEAN LOW RES MULTILINGUAL] [LARGE-SCALE MULTILINGUAL] [TRIANGULAR MT] [EFFICIENCY] [TERMINOLOGY] [UNSUP AND VERS) [LIFELONG LEARNING] EVALUATION TASKS: [QUALITY ESTIMATION] [METRICS] OTHER TASKS: [AUTOMATIC POST-EDITING]

This conference builds on a series of annual workshops and conferences on statistical machine translation, going back to 2006:

- the NAACL-2006 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the ACL-2007 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the ACL-2008 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the EACL-2009 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the ACL-2010 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation
- the EMNLP-2011 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the NAACL-2012 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the ACL-2013 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the ACL-2014 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the EMNLP-2015 Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation,
- the First Conference on Machine Translation (at ACL-2016),
- the Second Conference on Machine Translation (at EMNLP-2017),
- the Third Conference on Machine Translation (at EMNLP-2018).
- the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (at ACL-2019).
- the Sixth Conference on Machine Translation (at EMNLP-2020).

Two Subtasks, two Indo-European Families



Shared Task Challenges

C1 Multilinguality

- C2 Limited data but related languages
- C3 Specific vocabulary (cultural heritage, NEs)
- C4 Document-level translation

Automatic Evaluation, Task 1: North Germanic Languages

	Average Ranking	BLEU	TER	chrF	COMET	BertScore
M2M-100 (baseline)	$1.0{\pm}0.0$	31.5	0.54	0.55	0.399	0.862
EdinSaar-Contrastive	$2.2{\pm}0.4$	27.1	0.57	0.54	0.283	0.856
EdinSaar-Primary	$2.8{\pm}0.4$	27.5	0.58	0.52	0.276	0.849
UBCNLP-Primary	$4.0 {\pm} 0.0$	24.9	0.60	0.50	0.076	0.847
UBCNLP-Contrastive	$5.0{\pm}0.0$	24.0	0.61	0.49	-0.068	0.837
mT5-devFinetuned (baseline)	$6.0{\pm}0.0$	18.5	0.78	0.42	-0.102	0.810

Automatic Evaluation, Task 2: Romance Languages

	Average Ranking	BLEU	TER	chrF	COMET	BertScore
CUNI-Primary	$1.2{\pm}0.4$	50.1	0.401	0.694	0.566	0.901
CUNI-Contrastive	$1.6{\pm}0.5$	49.5	0.404	0.693	0.569	0.901
TenTrans-Contrastive	$3.0{\pm}0.0$	43.5	0.460	0.670	0.444	0.894
TenTrans-Primary	$3.8 {\pm} 0.4$	43.3	0.462	0.668	0.442	0.894
BSC-Primary	$5.0 {\pm} 0.7$	41.3	0.402	0.647	0.363	0.884
M2M-100 (baseline)	$5.8 {\pm} 0.4$	40.0	0.478	0.634	0.414	0.878
UBCNLP-Primary	$7.2{\pm}0.4$	35.4	0.528	0.588	0.007	0.854
mT5-devFinetuned (baseline)	$8.0 {\pm} 0.7$	29.3	0.592	0.553	0.059	0.850
UBCNLP-Contrastive	$8.6{\pm}0.5$	28.5	0.591	0.529	-0.374	0.825

Some Selected Systems





Multilingual LR Translation for Indo-European Languages CUNI (Jon et al., 2021)

- Multilingual supervised machine translation model (primary) enriched with backtranslated data (contrastive)
- 41 M original parallel sentences including all language pairs in the task plus French and English
- Exploration of various subword granularities
- Phonemic representation of texts added via multi-task learning
- Character-level rescoring on the translations *n*-best lists for Catalan–Occitan

Multilingual LR Translation for Indo-European Languages TenTrans (Yang et al., 2021)

- 8-to-4 multilingual model with Catalan–Italian–Romanian–Occitan as the target side and Spanish, French, Portuguese and English on the source side.
- In-domain finetuning (data selected using a domain classifier trained with multilingual BERT)
- Knowledge transfer: knowledge distillation of the M2M 1.2B model previously finetuned on the languages of the task
- Primary: ensemble of the in-domain multilingual and the distilled M2M
Multilingual LR Translation for Indo-European Languages

Some Conclusions

- Systems used direct neural translation, multilingual or bilingual, no translations done through a pivot language
- Multilingual systems trained with additional corpora with the related rich languages as source gave the best performance
- Data augmentation via backtranslations has been beneficial for all the systems
- Few improvements by selecting data close to the domain of the validation set, but the in-domain adaptation was not decisive to win the shared task

Track Details

Small Track #1: 5 Central/East European languages, 30 directions: Croatian, Hungarian, Estonian, Serbian, Macedonian, English

Small Track #2: 5 South East Asian languages, 30 directions: Javanese, Indonesian, Malay, Tagalog, Tamil, English

Large Track: All Languages, to and from English

Large Track Languages

- Afrikaans
- Amharic
- Arabic
- Armenian
- Assamese
- Asturian
- Azerbaijani
- Belarusian
- Bengali
- Bosnian
- Bulgarian
- Burmese
- Catalan
- Cebuano
- Chinese (Simplified)
- Chinese (Traditional)
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish

- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Filipino (Tagalog)
- Finnish
- French
- Fula
- Galician
- Ganda
- Georgian
- German
- Greek
- Gujarati
- Hausa
- Hebrew
- Hindi
- Hungarian
- Icelandic
- Igbo

- Indonesian
- Irish
- Italian
- Japanese
- Javanese
- Kabuverdianu
- Kamba
- Kannada
- Kazakh
- Khmer
- Korean
- Kyrgyz
- Lao
- Latvian
- Lingala
- Lithuanian
- Luo
- Luxembourgish
- Macedonian

- Malay
- Malayalam
- Maltese
- Maori
- Marathi
- Mongolian
- Nepali
- Northern Sotho
- Norwegian
- Nyanja
- Occitan
- Oriya
- Oromo
 Pashto
- Persian
- Persia
 Polish
- Ponsii
 Portuguese
- Puniabi
- Punjabi
- Romanian

- Russian
- Serbian
 Shona
- Sindhi
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Somali
- Sorani Kurdish
- Spanish
- Swahili
- Swedish
- Tajik
- Tamil
- Telugu
- Thai
- Turkish
- Ukrainian
- Umbundu
- Urdu

- UzbekVietnamese
- Welsh
- Wolof
- Xhosa
 Yoruba
 Zulu

Dynabench Evaluation Platform

A https://dynabench.org/flores/Flores MT Evaluation (FULL)

Flores MT Evaluation (FULL)

C

 \rightarrow

Flores MT Evaluation (Small task 1)

Flores MT Evaluation (Small task 2)

W flores

FLORES is a benchmark dataset for machine translation between English and low-resource languages.

Flores MT Evaluation (FULL) Description: Machine Translation Evaluation for 100+ Languages

🏦 Submit Models

▶ Let's go to Dynabench!

High-Quality Translations

LANGUAGE-PAIR LEADERBOARD			
Source Language 🔚	Target Language 😑	Model	BLEU Score
Afrikaans (afr)	English (eng)	DeltaLM+Zcode	60.86
Welsh (cym)	English (eng)	DeltaLM+Zcode	60.05
English (eng)	Welsh (cym)	DeltaLM+Zcode	58.37
English (eng)	Maltese (mlt)	DeltaLM+Zcode	57.98
Maltese (mlt)	English (eng)	DeltaLM+Zcode	57.96
Swedish (swe)	English (eng)	DeltaLM+Zcode	52.63
Danish (dan)	English (eng)	DeltaLM+Zcode	52.40
Portuguese (Brazil) (por)	English (eng)	DeltaLM+Zcode	51.29
Welsh (cym)	Maltese (mlt)	DeltaLM+Zcode	50.15
Afrikaans (afr)	Maltese (mlt)	DeltaLM+Zcode	49.74
Page 1 of 1010			Previous Next

Low-Quality Translations

LANGUAGE-PAIR LEADERBOARD			Dataset 🕶
Source Language 🚞	Target Language 😑	Model	👻 BLEU Score
Lingala (lin)	Fula (ful)	DeltaLM+Zcode	1.41
Burmese (mya)	Kabuverdianu (kea)	DeltaLM+Zcode	1.42
Thai (tha)	Umbundu (umb)	DeltaLM+Zcode	1.42
Igbo (ibo)	Fula (ful)	DeltaLM+Zcode	1.42
Umbundu (umb)	Khmer (khm)	m2m-124-175m	1.43
Galician (glg)	Fula (ful)	m2m-124-175m	1.43
Estonian (est)	Fula (ful)	DeltaLM+Zcode	1.43
Luo (luo)	Khmer (khm)	615m	1.43
Hebrew (heb)	Umbundu (umb)	DeltaLM+Zcode	1.43
Catalan (cat)	Fula (ful)	m2m-124-175m	1.44
Page 1 of 1010			Previous Next

Microsoft Winning the 3 Tasks

Main System Characteristics (from the findings paper)

- Combination of parallel, back-translated and noisy-parallel data
- Based on the pre-trained DeltaLM_{LARGE} (next slides only if soon enough!)
- Mixture of direct and pivoted translation to improve the performance of individual directions
- Progressive learning: starts with a smaller architecture, noisier training data, and later changes to improve performance

DeltaLM: Basic Idea



Basic Idea (Ma et al., 2021 —still in arXiv)

• "The decoder as the task layer of off-the-shelf pre-trained encoders"

 Encoder and the decoder are initialised with the pre-trained multilingual encoder

 Pre-train ΔLM with both monolingual data and bilingual data in a self-supervised way

Basic Idea (Ma et al., 2021 —still in arXiv)

"The decoder as the task layer of off-the-shelf pre-trained encoders"

- Encoder and the decoder are initialised with the pre-trained multilingual encoder
 - How to initialise a decoder with an encoder??
- Pre-train ΔLM with both monolingual data and bilingual data in a self-supervised way
 - What's an appropriate pre-training task??

DeltaLM

Interleaved Decoder



(a) Vanilla encoder

(b) Vanilla decoder

(c) Interleaved decoder

Any Encoder could be Used to Initialise, ΔLM Uses InfoXLM_{BASE}

InfoXLM (Chi et al., NAACL 2021)

- 12 layers and 768 hidden states
- Training with large-scale monolingual data and bilingual data
- Tasks: masked language model, translation language model, and cross-lingual contrast objectives
- Shared vocabulary of 250,000 tokens based on the SentencePiece
- By the way... InfoXLM is initialised with XLM-R (550M params)

Architecture Characteristics

DeltaLM (Ma et al., 2021)

- 24 encoder layers, 12 interleaved decoder layers and 1024 hidden states (360M params)
- Training with large-scale monolingual data and bilingual data
- Tasks: span corruption and translation span corruption
- Shared vocabulary of 250,000 tokens based on the SentencePiece
- Initialised with InfoXLM which is initialised with XLM-R (550M params)

DeltaLM





- Introduced in mT5
- Data: large-scale multilingual corpora in 100 languages (6TB combination of CC100, CC-Net, and Wikipedia)

DeltaLM

Pre-training Tasks: Translation Span Corruption



- Introduced in mT6
- Data: concatenate two parallel sentences as the input for 77 languages (88GB of bilingual data from CCAligned and OPUS)

- Microsoft's submission trained on 64 NVIDIA V100 or 32 A100 GPUs
- It takes 1 week to train Δ LM with 32 V100 GPUs
- InfoXLM training
- 1.5 Million updates on 500 32GB Nvidia V100 GPUs for XML-R

Where were we? Microsoft Winning the 3 Tasks

Main System Characteristics (from the findings paper)

- Combination of parallel, back-translated and noisy-parallel data
- Based on the pre-trained DeltaLM
- Mixture of direct and pivoted translation to improve the performance of individual directions
- Progressive learning: starts with a smaller architecture, noisier training data, and later changes to improve performance

That's All Folks!

Thanks! And ...



Multilingual Neural Machine Translation

Cristina España-Bonet DFKI GmbH

11th Advanced Summer School on NLP IIIT Hyderabad 23rd June 2022