#### Termination Competition 2015

#### Jürgen Giesl Frederic Mesnard Albert Rubio René Thiemann Johannes Waldmann

RWTH Aachen HTWK Leipzig Universite de la Reunion UPC BarcelonaTech University of Innsbruck HTWK Leipzig

August, 2015. CADE-25

### The Halting Problem

The longer it keeps you waiting the more you appreciate a termination analysis

- Started in 2003.
- From 2004 to 2009: executed online on all benchmarks
- From 2009 on: random selection of benchmarks
- From 2010 on: Live execution during a conference.
- 2014: First time running under StarExec

#### • Term Rewriting and Transition systems

TRS (Standard, Context-Sensitive, Higher-Order, Integer, Cycles,...) String Rewrite Systems Certified categories Integer Transition Systems

• Complexity analysis

Runtime complexity (TRS) Derivational complexity (TRS) Certified categories

• Programming Languages

C, Integer C, Java, Haskell, Prolog.

### Tools

15 tools from 13 teams

- 1 AProVE (Aahen, Germany)
- 2 AutoNon (Amsterdam, The Netherlands)
- 3 Ctrl (Innsbruck, Austria)
- Ocycess (Frankfurt, Germany and Eindhoven, The Netherlands)
- 6 HipTNT+ (Singapore)
- 6 matchbox (Leipzig, Germany)
- 7 muterm (Valencia, Spain)
- 8 NaTT, (Nagoya, Japan)
- 9 T2 (Microsoft Cambridge, UK)
- ① TCT2 and TCT3 (Innsbruck, Austria)
- TTT2 (Innsbruck, Austria)
- UltimateBuchiAutomizer (+Joogie) (Freiburg, Germany and Canberra, Australia)
- 🚯 Wanda (Innsbruck, Austria)

• Execution organizer:

Johannes Waldmann

- Second time running under StarExec Platform.
- An important reimplementation effort needed.
- Unexpectedly many more problems appeared in this second use of StarExec

Solving platform problems until the very last moment.

- Benchmarks taken form the Termination Problem Data Base (TPDB)
- Timeout 300 seconds
- Only categories with at least two participants (from different teams) are run in the competition.

There is a full demonstration run afterwards with all categories.

### **Running Competition**

#### **Termination Competition 2015**

General Information wc = 300 a = 1 b = 1 c = 0.1 (2015-08-05 18:48:18.60928 UTC) 51787 pairs, 12024641.5 / 6232857.3 s finished in 399686h 5m 50s

#### Termination of Term Rewriting (and Transition Systems) finished in 399686h 5m 50s, 33684 pairs, 6726808.6 / 3493948.5 s

Combined Ranking (Rules): 1. AProVE 2015 (20) 2. TTT2 (10) NaTT 1.3 (10) 4. matchbox2015-07-26.1 (7) 5. muterm 5.17 (6) 6. AProVE certified (3) 7. T2 - 2015-07-09 - 13745bd6 (2) Wanda (2) 9. AProVE certified TRS Standard (1) 10. cycstra-29-07-2015.5 (0) AutoNon 1.21 (0) Ctrf (0)

category	post-proc	rankings	statistics
TRS Standard	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (1310), NaTT 1.3 (1023), TTT2 (989), muterm 5.17 (834), Wanda (636), matchbox2015-07-26.1 (524), AutoNon 1.21 (228),	10486 pairs, 1666958.3 / 824051.3 s
SRS Standard	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (832), TTT2 (598), matchbox2015-07-26.1 (365), NaTT 1.3 (202), muterm 5.17 (135), AutoNon 1.21 (58),	7890 pairs, 2570235.3 / 1324912.6 s
Cycles	plain.3	matchbox2015-07-26.1 (646), cycsrs-29-07-2015.5 (422),	2630 pairs, 950125.9 / 453572.0 s
TRS Relative	plain.3	NaTT 1.3 (70), AProVE 2015 (55), TTT2 (41), matchbox2015-07-26.1 (40),	392 pairs, 77146.9 / 34711.5 s
SRS Relative	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (88), matchbox2015-07-26.1 (32), TTT2 (24), NaTT 1.3 (17),	820 pairs, 274225.6 / 145649.5 s
TRS Standard certified	ceta-2.20-2	PAProVE certified TRS Standard (1223), TTT2 (962),	2996 pairs, 251319.4 / 124453.7 s
SRS Standard certified	ceta-2.20-2	ProVE certified (816), TTT2 (570),	2630 pairs, 486311.2 / 223843.9 s
TRS Relative certified	ceta-2.20-2	ProVE certified (51), TTT2 (41),	196 pairs, 29112.5 / 17898.6 s
SRS Relative certified	ceta-2.20-2	2 AProVE certified (88), TTT2 (20),	410 pairs, 76372.8 / 46591.8 s
TRS Equational	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (67), muterm 5.17 (63),	152 pairs, 3067.0 / 3466.0 s
TRS Conditional	plain.3	muterm 5.17 (101), AProVE 2015 (85),	234 pairs, 5576.4 / 5099.8 s
TRS Context Sensitive	plain.3	muterm 5.17 (98), AProVE 2015 (97),	216 pairs, 7100.2 / 5007.1 s
TRS Innermost	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (273), muterm 5.17 (203),	732 pairs, 102735.3 / 77628.8 s
Integer Transition Systems	plain.3	T2 - 2015-07-09 - 13745bd6 (1061), AProVE 2015 (1034), Ctrl (423),	3666 pairs, 212567.8 / 200512.7 s
Integer TRS	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (102), Ctrl (85),	234 pairs, 13954.0 / 6549.1 s

#### Complexity Analysis of Term Rewriting finished in 399683h 19m 8s, 14796 pairs, 5140915.1 / 2607632.9 s

Combined Ranking (Rules): 1. TCT3\_2015 (6) 2. AProVE 2015 (4) 3. AProVE certified (1) matchbox2015-07-26.1 (1) 5. TCT2\_20150725 (0)

category	post-proc	rankings	statistics
Derivational Complexity - Full Rewriting	plain.3	TCT3_2015 (853), matchbox2015-07-26.1 (369), TCT2_20150725 (0),	5427 pairs, 2534205.9 / 1205378.3 s
Runtime Complexity - Full Rewriting	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (1218), TCT3_2015 (414), TCT2_20150725 (0),	2877 pairs, 791627.1 / 439245.3 s
Runtime Complexity - Innermost Rewriting	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (2102), TCT3_2015 (769), TCT2_20150725 (0),	3246 pairs, 916945.1 / 491516.2 s
Runtime Complexity - Innermost Rewriting certified	ceta-2.20-2	TCT3_2015 (689), AProVE certified (495), TCT2_20150725 (0),	3246 pairs, 898136.9 / 471493.2 s

#### Termination of Programming Languages finished in 399683h 22m 25s, 3307 pairs, 156917.9 / 131275.9 s

Combined Ranking (Rules): 1. UltimateBuchiAutomizer (3) 2. HipTNT+ v3 (2) AProVE 2015 (2)

category	post-proc	rankings	statistics
С	plain.3	UltimateBuchiAutomizer (277), AProVE 2015 (252), HipTNT+ v3 (249),	1416 pairs, 84389.0 / 85616.8 s
C Integer Programs	plain.3	HipTNT+ v3 (305), UltimateBuchiAutomizer (295), AProVE 2015 (289),	1005 pairs, 40026.8 / 22753.0 s
Java Bytecode	plain.3	AProVE 2015 (410), UltimateBuchiAutomizer (141),	886 pairs, 32502.0 / 22906.2 s

Termination Competition 2015 data is produced on StarExec at U Iowa, and aggregated on star-exec-presenter at F-IMN, HTWK Leipzig.

- 15 tools
- $\bullet$  > 15,000 problems from the TPDB (benchmarks library)
- 120 execution nodes (StarExec).
- $\sim$  14 hours of live execution (would be 10 weeks in single node!)
- CeTA is the certifier in use (Christian Sternagel and René Thiemann)

TRS Standard:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd NaTT
- 3rd TTT2

TRS Standard:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd NaTT
- 3rd TTT2

#### SRS Standard:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd TTT2
- 3rd matchbox

TRS Standard:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd NaTT
- 3rd TTT2

#### SRS Standard:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd TTT2
- 3rd matchbox

#### Cycles:

- 1st matchbox
- 2nd cycsrs

TRS Standard:

TRS Relative:

1st	AProVE
2nd	NaTT
3rd	TTT2

SRS Standard:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd TTT2

3rd matchbox

Cycles:

1st matchbox

2nd cycsrs

1st	NaTT
2nd	AProVE
3rd	TTT2

TRS Standard:

TRS Relative:

1st	AProVE	1st	NaTT
2nd	NaTT	2nd	AProVE
3rd	TTT2	3rd	TTT2
SRS S	tandard:	SRS R	elative::
1st	AProVE	1st	AProVE
2nd	TTT2	2nd	matchbox
3rd	matchbox	3rd	TTT2

Cycles:

matchbox 1st

2nd cycsrs

TRS Standard:		TRS F	Relative:
1st	AProVE	1st	NaTT
2nd	NaTT	2nd	AProVE
3rd	TTT2	3rd	TTT2
SRS Standard:		SRS R	elative::
1st	AProVE	1st	AProVE
2nd	TTT2	2nd	matchbox
3rd	matchbox	3rd	TTT2
Cycles:		TRS E	quational:
1st	matchbox	1st	AProVE
2nd	cycsrs	2nd	muterm

TRS Standard:

1st	AProVE
2nd	NaTT
3rd	TTT2

SRS Standard:

1st	AProVE
2nd	TTT2
3rd	matchbox

Cycles:

1st matchbox 2nd cycsrs TRS Relative:

1st	NaTT
2nd	AProVE
3rd	TTT2

SRS Relative::

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd matchbox
- 3rd TTT2
- TRS Equational:
- 1st AProVE 2nd muterm

TRS Conditional: 1st muterm 2nd AProVE

TRS Standard

1st	AProVE
2nd	NaTT
3rd	TTT2

SRS Standard:

AProVF 1st 2nd TTT2 3rd matchbox

Cycles:

1st matchbox 2nd **CVCS**rS

TRS Relative

1st 2nd 3rd	NaTT AProVE TTT2
SRS F	Relative::
1st	AProVE
2nd	matchbox
3rd	TTT2
TRS E	Equational:
1st	AProVE

2nd muterm TRS Conditional: 1st muterm 2nd AProVE TRS Context Sensitive: 1st muterm AProVE 2nd

TRS Standard:

1st	AProVE
2nd	NaTT
3rd	TTT2

SRS Standard:

1st AProVE 2nd TTT2 3rd matchbox

Cycles:

1st matchbox 2nd cycsrs

TRS Relative NaTT 1st 2nd AProVF 3rd TTT2 SRS Relative:: AProVE 1st 2nd matchbox 3rd TTT2 TRS Equational: 1st AProVE 2nd muterm

TRS Conditional: 1st muterm 2nd AProVE TRS Context Sensitive: 1st muterm AProVE 2nd TRS Innermost: AProVF 1st 2nd muterm

Integer Transition Systems:

- 1st T2
- 2nd AProVE
- 3rd Ctrl

Integer Transition Systems:

- 1st T2
- 2nd AProVE
- 3rd Ctrl

#### Integer TRS:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd Ctrl

Integer Transition Systems:

- 1st T2
- 2nd AProVE
- 3rd Ctrl

Integer TRS:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd Ctrl

TRS Standard certified:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd TTT2

Integer Transition Systems:

- 1st T2
- 2nd AProVE
- 3rd Ctrl

Integer TRS:

1st AProVE

2nd Ctrl

- TRS Standard certified:
  - 1st AProVE
  - 2nd TTT2

SRS Standard certified:		
1st	AProVE	
2nd	TTT2	

Integer Transition Systems:

- 1st T2
- 2nd AProVE
- 3rd Ctrl

Integer TRS:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd Ctrl
- TRS Standard certified:
  - 1st AProVE
  - 2nd TTT2

SRS Standard certified: 1st AProVE 2nd TTT2 TRS Relative certified: 1st AProVE 2nd TTT2

Integer Transition Systems:

- 1st T2 2nd AProVE
- 3rd Ctrl

Integer TRS:

- 1st AProVE
- 2nd Ctrl
- TRS Standard certified:
  - 1st AProVE 2nd TTT2

SRS Standard certified AProVF 1st 2nd TTT2 TRS Relative certified: AProVF 1st 2nd TTT2 SRS Relative certified: AProVE 1st 2nd  $TTT_2$ 

### TermComp 2015 Winners. Complexity Analysis

11

### TermComp 2015 Winners. Complexity Analysis

Runtime Complexity Full Rewriting:

1st AProVE 2nd TCT3 Runtime Complexity Full Rewriting:

1st AProVE 2nd TCT3

Runtime Complexity Innermost Rewriting:

1st AProVE

2nd TCT3

Runtime Complexity Full Rewriting:

1st AProVE 2nd TCT3

Runtime Complexity Innermost Rewriting:

1st AProVE 2nd TCT3

Runtime Complexity Innermost Rewriting certified:

1st TCT3 2nd AProVE Runtime Complexity Full Rewriting:

1st AProVE 2nd TCT3

Runtime Complexity Innermost Rewriting:

1st	AProVE
2nd	TCT3

Runtime Complexity Innermost Rewriting certified:

1st TCT3 2nd AProVE Derivational Complexity Full Rewriting: 1st TCT3 2nd matchbox

### TermComp 2015 Winners. Programming Languages

### TermComp 2015 Winners. Programming Languages

#### • C:

- 1st UltimateBuchiAutomizer
- 2nd AProVE
- 3rd HipTNT+

#### • C:

- 1st UltimateBuchiAutomizer
- 2nd AProVE
- 3rd HipTNT+
- C Integer Programs:
  - 1st HipTNT+
  - 2nd UltimateBuchiAutomizer
  - 3rd AProVE

#### • C:

- 1st UltimateBuchiAutomizer
- 2nd AProVE
- 3rd HipTNT+
- C Integer Programs:
  - 1st HipTNT+
  - 2nd UltimateBuchiAutomizer
  - 3rd AProVE
- Java Bytecode:
  - 1st AProVE
  - 2nd UltimateBuchiAutomizer+Joogie

- Term Rewriting: AProVE
- Complexity Analysis: TCT3
- Programming Languages: UltimateBuchiAutomizer

But up to 8 tools out of 13 won at least one category!

Check complete results in http://nfa.imn.htwk-leipzig.de/termcomp-2015/competitions/4

### Acknowledgments







### Acknowledgments







### Thanks to all participants

### Acknowledgments







### Thanks to all participants And thanks a lot to Johannes Waldmann