Automatic Generation of

Polynomial Loop Invariants:

Algebraic Foundations

Enric Rodríguez-Carbonell

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya **Deepak Kapur**

University of New Mexico

Overview of the Talk

- 1. Motivation for automatically generating invariants
- 2. Simple loops with sequences of assignments
- 3. Loops including conditional statements
- 4. Algorithm for generating polynomial invariants
- 5. **Termination** of the algorithm

Motivation Program Verification

- Program verification failed due to:
 - program annotation by hand
 - weak theorem provers
- Current theorem provers are quite powerful
- About program annotation:
 - Pre/postconditions: useful documentation
 - Loop invariants: tedious to write

⇒ Automatic generation of loop invariants

Sequences of Assignments Example: Square Root Program

```
{Pre: N \ge 0 }

a := 0; s := 1; t := 1;

while (s \le N) do

a := a + 1;

s := s + t + 2;

t := t + 2;

end while

{Post: a^2 \le N < (a + 1)^2 }
```

- Need invariant to verify program
- Good invariant: $a^2 \leq N \wedge t = 2a + 1 \wedge s = (a+1)^2$

Sequences of Assignments Generating Invariants (1)

• **Program states** \equiv solution to the recurrence

$$\begin{cases} a_{n+1} = a_n + 1 \\ s_{n+1} = s_n + t_n + 2 \\ t_{n+1} = t_n + 2 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} a_0 = 0 \\ s_0 = 1 \\ t_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$

 $(a_n, s_n, t_n) \equiv \text{program state after } n \text{ loop iterations}$

Sequences of Assignments Generating Invariants (2)

$$\begin{cases}
 a_n = n \\
 s_n = (n+1)^2 \\
 t_n = 2n+1
\end{cases}$$

The infinite formula

$$(a = 0 \land s = 1 \land t = 1) \lor (a = 1 \land s = 4 \land t = 3) \lor \cdots \equiv$$

 $\equiv \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} (a = n \land s = (n+1)^2 \land t = 2n+1)$

is invariant

Want a finite invariant formula !

Sequences of Assignments Eliminating Loop Counters

• The infinite formula can be replaced by

 $\exists n(a = n \land s = (n+1)^2 \land t = 2n+1)$

- Need for quantifier elimination
- In the example it is obvious:

 $a = n \implies s = (a + 1)^2 \land t = 2a + 1$ is loop invariant

 Gröbner bases can be used to eliminate auxiliary variables such as loop counters

Polynomial Invariants Form an Ideal

• For any program state (a, s, t),

$$s - (a + 1)^2 = 0$$

 $t - (2a + 1) = 0$

• For any polynomials p, q,

 $p(a, s, t)(s - (a + 1)^2) + q(a, s, t)(t - (2a + 1)) = 0$

In general polynomial invariants form an ideal

Handling Conditional Statements Example: Factor Program

• Good invariant: $N \ge 1 \land N + r = x^2 - y^2$

Handling Conditional Statements Generating Invariants (1)

- 1st idea:
 - 1. Compute invariants for two distinct loops:

```
while true do

r := r + 2x + 1; while true do

r := r - 2y - 1;

x := x + 1; y := y + 1;

end while end while
```

- 2. Compute *common* invariants for both loops
- Finding *common* invariants \equiv Finding *intersection* of polynomial invariant ideals
- Gröbner bases used to compute intersection of ideals

Handling Conditional Statements Generating Invariants (2)

while true do r := r + 2x + 1; while true do r := r - 2y - 1; x := x + 1; y := y + 1;end while end while

 $\langle y , -r - N + x^2 \rangle$ $\langle x - R , r - R^2 + N + y^2 \rangle$ $\langle x^2 - r - N - y^2 , yx - Ry , y^3 - R^2y + ry + Ny \rangle$

Problem: not all polynomials in the intersection are invariants

- The only invariant polynomial is $x^2 r N y^2$
- Others are not invariants of the original loop

Handling Conditional Statements Generating Invariants (3)

Tree of all possible execution paths:



- Found common invariants to the two extreme paths
- True invariants are common to all paths !

Handling Conditional Statements Generating Invariants (4)

- 2nd idea: intersecting with more paths
- For example: paths with at most one alternation



Algorithm for Computing Invariants (1)ProgramAlgorithm $x := \bar{\alpha};$ $I' := \langle 1 \rangle; I := \langle x_1 - \alpha_1, \cdots, x_m - \alpha_m \rangle;$ while true do $i' := \langle 1 \rangle; I := \langle x_1 - \alpha_1, \cdots, x_m - \alpha_m \rangle;$ while true dowhile $I' \neq I$ do $\bar{x} := f(\bar{x});$ I' := I;or $I := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} [I(\bar{x} \leftarrow f^{-n}(\bar{x}))$ $\bar{x} := g(\bar{x});$ $\cap I(\bar{x} \leftarrow g^{-n}(\bar{x}))];$

end while

end while

Algorithm for Computing Invariants (2)

- After *N* iterations:
 - $I \equiv$ intersection for all paths with $\leq N 1$ alternations



1st iteration 2nd iteration

3rd iteration

Algorithm for Computing Invariants (3)

- The value of *I* stabilizes
- Termination in O(m) iterations, where m = number of variables
- Correctness and completeness proofs in the report
- Implemented in Maple:
 - 1. Solving recurrences
 - 2. Eliminating variables3. Intersecting idealsGröbner bases

Algorithm for Computing Invariants (4) Table of Examples

PROGRAM	COMPUTING	VARIABLES	BRANCHES	TIMING
freire1	$\sqrt{2}$	2	1	< 3 s.
freire2	$\sqrt[3]{}$	3	1	< 5 s.
cohencu	cube	4	1	< 5 s.
cousot	toy	2	2	< 4 s.
divbin	division	3	2	< 5 s.
dijkstra	$\sqrt{2}$	3	2	< 6 s.
fermat2	factor	3	2	< 4 s.
wensley2	division	4	2	< 5 s.
euclidex2	gcd	6	2	< 6 s.
lcm2	lcm	4	2	< 5 s.
factor	factor	4	4	< 20 s.

PC Linux Pentium 4 2.5 Ghz

Termination (1)

Toy program

$$x := 0; y := 0;$$

while true do
 $x := x + 1;$
or
 $y := y + 1;$
end while

- Program states $\equiv \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$
- Assignments:

f(x,y) = (x+1,y) g(x,y) = (x,y+1)

• Initial state $(x, y) = (0, 0) \longrightarrow$ initial ideal $\langle x, y \rangle$

Termination (2)

Ist iteration of the algorithm

1st branch: f(x,y) = (x + 1,y) $\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n + 1 \\ y_{n+1} = y_n \end{cases}, \begin{cases} x_0 = 0 \\ y_0 = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} x_n = n \\ y_n = 0 \end{cases}$ Invariant ideal 1st branch: $\langle y \rangle$ 2nd branch: g(x,y) = (x,y+1) $\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n \\ y_{n+1} = y_n + 1 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} x_0 = 0 \\ y_0 = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} x_n = 0 \\ y_n = n \end{cases}$ Invariant ideal 2nd branch: $\langle x \rangle$

Intersection ideal: $\langle xy \rangle$



- Step 0: $\langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow \{(0, 0)\}$, dimension 0
- Step 1: $\langle xy \rangle \rightarrow \{(\alpha, 0)\} \cup \{(0, \alpha)\}, \text{ dimension } 1$

The dimension has increased !

Termination (4)

- 2nd iteration of the algorithm
 - Ideal computed: {0}
 - Solution space: \mathbb{R}^2 , dimension 2

The dimension has increased again !

- At each step of the algorithm, the **dimension increases**
- If there are m variables, it terminates in O(m) steps

Related Work (1)

- Karr (1976): linear equalities
- Cousot, Halbwachs (1978): linear inequalities
- Colón, Sankaranarayanan, Sipma (2003): *linear inequalities*
- Müller-Olm, Seidl (2003): polynomial equalities
- Sankaranarayanan et al (2004): *polynomial equalities*
- Müller-Olm, Seidl (2004): polynomial equalities
- Rodríguez-Carbonell, Kapur (2004): polynomial equalities

Related Work (2) Overview Polynomial Invariants

Work	Restrictions	Nesting	Conditions	Complete	Application
[MOS03]	bounded degree	yes	no	yes	<i>intra</i> procedural
[SSM03]	prefixed form	yes	yes	no	<i>inter</i> procedural
[MOS04]	prefixed form	yes	yes	yes	<i>inter</i> procedural
[RCK04]	bounded degree	yes	yes	yes	<i>inter</i> procedural
[RCK04]	no restriction	no	no	yes	<i>inter</i> procedural

Conclusions

- **Correct** and **complete** algorithm for **polynomial** invariants
- First method not bounding a priori degree of invariants
- Applicable to loops without nesting
- Terminates in O(m) iterations, where m = number of variables
- Implemented and being integrated into a verifier
- Part of a general framework for generating invariants
 - Rich theory in algebraic geometry and polynomial ideals
 - Beyond numbers and polynomials we need:
 - \circ solving recurrences
 - \circ eliminating variables
 - o ...