IRRS: Information Retrieval and Recommender Systems FIB, Master in Data Science

Slides by Marta Arias, José Luis Balcázar, Ramon Ferrer-i-Cancho, Ricard Gavaldá Department of Computer Science, UPC

#### Fall 2022

http://www.cs.upc.edu/~ir-miri

8. Locality Sensitive Hashing

## Motivation, I

Find similar items in high dimensions, quickly

Could be useful, for example, in nearest neighbor algorithm.. but in a large, high dimensional dataset this may be difficult!



## Motivation, II

#### Hashing is good for checking existence, not nearest neighbors



# Motivation, III

Main idea: want hashing functions that map similar objects to nearby positions using *projections* 



[FIG1] Two examples showing projections of two close (circles) and two distant (squares) points onto the printed page.

## Different types of hashing functions

#### Perfect hashing

- Provide 1-1 mapping of objects to bucket ids
- Any two different objects mapped to different buckets (no collisions)

#### Universal hashing

- ▶ A family of functions  $\mathcal{F} = \{h : U \to [n]\}$  is called *universal* if  $P[h(x) = h(y)] \leq \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $x \neq y$
- i.e. probability of collision for different objects is at most 1/n

#### Locality sensitive hashing (Ish)

- Collision probability for similar objects is high enough
- Collision probability for *dissimilar* objects is low

# Locality sensitive hashing functions

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  is called  $(s, c \cdot s, p_1, p_2)$ -sensitive if for any two objects x and y we have:

- If  $s(x,y) \ge s$ , then  $P[h(x) = h(y)] \ge p_1$
- If  $s(x,y) \leq c \cdot s$ , then  $P[h(x) = h(y)] \leq p_2$

where the probability is taken over chosing h from  ${\cal F},$  and c<1,  $p_1>p_2$ 

# How to use LSH to find nearest neighbor

The main idea

Pick a hashing function h from appropriate family  $\mathcal{F}$ 

#### Preprocessing

• Compute h(x) for all objects x in our available dataset

#### On arrival of query q

- Compute h(q) for query object
- Sequentially check nearest neighbor in "bucket" h(q)

#### Locality sensitive hashing I

An example for bit vectors

- Objects are vectors in  $\{0,1\}^d$
- Distances are measured using Hamming distance

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} |x_i - y_i|$$

 Similarity is measured as nr. of common bits divided by length of vector

$$s(x,y) = 1 - \frac{d(x,y)}{d}$$

For example, if x = 10010 and y = 11011, then d(x, y) = 2and s(x, y) = 1 - 2/5 = 0.6

## Locality sensitive hashing II

An example for bit vectors

► Consider the following "hashing family": sample the *i*-th bit of a vector, i.e.  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i | i \in [d]\}$  where  $f_i(x) = x_i$ 

Then, the probability of collision

$$P[h(x) = h(y)] = s(x, y)$$

(the probability is taken over chosing a random  $h \in \mathcal{F}$ )

► Hence F is (s, cs, s, cs)-sensitive (with c < 1 so that s > cs as required)

## Locality sensitive hashing III

An example for bit vectors

- If gap between s and cs is too small (between p1 and p2), we can amplify it:
  - By stacking together k hash functions
    - $h(x) = (h_1(x), .., h_k(x))$  where  $h_i \in \mathcal{F}$
    - Probability of collision of similar objects decreases to s<sup>k</sup>
    - Probability of collision of dissimilar objects decreases even more to (cs)<sup>k</sup>
  - By repeating the process m times
    - Probability of collision of similar objects increases to  $1 (1 s)^m$
  - Choosing k and m appropriately, can achieve a family that is  $(s, cs, 1 (1 s^k)^m, 1 (1 (cs)^k)^m)$ -sensitive

## Locality sensitive hashing IV

An example for bit vectors

Here, k = 5, m = 3



## Locality sensitive hashing V

An example for bit vectors

Collision probability is  $1 - (1 - s^k)^m$ 



## Similarity search becomes..

Pseudocode

#### Preprocessing

- Input: set of objects X
- for i = 1..m
  - for each  $x \in X$ 
    - Stack k hash functions and form  $x_i = (h_1(x), .., h_k(x))$
    - store x in bucket given by  $f(x_i)$

#### On query time

- Input: query object q
- $\blacktriangleright Z = \emptyset$
- for i = 1..m
  - Stack k hash functions and form  $q_i = (h_1(q), .., h_k(q))$
  - $Z_i = \{ \text{ objects found in bucket } f(q_i) \}$

$$\blacktriangleright \ Z = Z \cup Z_i$$

• Output all  $z \in Z$  such that  $s(q, z) \ge s$ 

## For objects in $[1..M]^d$

The idea is to represent each coordinate in unary form

- ► For example, if M = 10 and d = 2, then (5, 2) becomes (1111100000, 1100000000)
- In this case, we have that the  $L_1$  distance of two points in  $[1..M]^d$  is

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} |x_i - y_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{d} d_{Hamming}(u(x), u(y))$$

so we can concatenate vectors in each coordinate into one single  $d{\cal M}$  bit-vector

In fact, one does not need to store these vectors, they can be computed on-the-fly

#### Generalizing the idea..

If we have a family of hash functions such that for all pairs of objects x, y

$$P[h(x) = h(y)] = s(x, y)$$
 (1)

- We can then amplify the gap of probabilities by stacking k functions and repeating m times
- .. and so the core of the problem becomes to find a similarity function s and hash family satisfying (1)

#### Another example: finding similar sets I

Using the Jaccard coefficient as similarity function

#### Jaccard coefficient

For pairs of sets x and y from a ground set U (i.e.  $x \subseteq U, y \subseteq U$ ) is

$$J(x,y) = \frac{|x \cap y|}{|x \cup y|}$$



## Another example: finding similar sets II

Using the Jaccard coefficient as similarity function

#### Main idea

- Suppose elements in U are ordered (randomly)
- Now, look at the smallest element in each of the sets
- The more similar x and y are, the more likely it is that their smallest element coincides



### Another example: finding similar sets III

Using the Jaccard coefficient as similarity function

So, define family of hash functions for Jaccard coefficient:

• Consider a random permutation  $r: U \rightarrow [1..|U|]$  of elements in U

For a set 
$$x = \{x_1, ..., x_l\}$$
, define  $h_r(x) = min_i\{r(x_i)\}$ 

• Let 
$$\mathcal{F} = \{h_r | r \text{ is a permutation}\}$$

And so: P[h(x) = h(y)] = J(x, y) as desired!

Scheme known as *min-wise independent permutation* hashing, in practice inefficient due to the cost of storing random permutations.